

# THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE POLYHEDRAL PRODUCT FOR SHIFTED COMPLEXES

JELENA GRBIĆ AND STEPHEN THERIAULT

**ABSTRACT.** We prove a conjecture of Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and Gitler: if  $K$  is a shifted simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are spaces and  $CX_i$  is the cone on  $X_i$ , then the polyhedral product determined by  $K$  and the pairs  $(CX_i, X_i)$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of suspensions of smashes of the  $X_i$ 's. This generalises earlier work of the two authors in the special case where each  $X_i$  is a loop space. Connections are made to toric topology, combinatorics, and classical homotopy theory.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Polyhedral products generalize the notion of a product of spaces. They are of widespread interest due to their being fundamental objects which arise in many areas of mathematics. For example, in certain dynamical systems they arise as invariants of the system, in robotics they are related to configuration spaces of planar linkages, in combinatorics they appear as the complements of complex coordinate subspace arrangements, and in algebraic geometry they appear as certain intersections of quadrics. Their topological properties have attracted a great deal of recent attention due in part to their emergence as central objects of study in toric topology. This includes work on their geometric properties [BP1, BP2], homology [BP1, DS], their rational homotopy [FT, NR], and their homotopy types [BBCG1, BBCG2, GT1, GT2].

To define a polyhedral product, let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $(X_i, A_i)$  be a pair of pointed  $CW$ -complexes, where  $A_i$  is a pointed subspace of  $X_i$ . Let  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \{(X_i, A_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be the sequence of pairs. For each simplex  $\sigma \in K$ , let  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$  be the subspace of  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  defined by

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i \quad \text{where } Y_i = \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if } i \in \sigma \\ A_i & \text{if } i \notin \sigma. \end{cases}$$

The *polyhedral product* determined by  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$  and  $K$  is

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

For example, suppose each  $A_i$  is a point. If  $K$  is a disjoint union of  $n$  points then  $(\underline{X}, *)^K$  is the wedge  $X_1 \vee \dots \vee X_n$ , and if  $K$  is the standard  $(n-1)$ -simplex then  $(\underline{X}, *)^K$  is the product  $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F55, 55P15, Secondary 52C35.

*Key words and phrases.* Davis-Januszkiewicz space, moment-angle complex, polyhedral product, homotopy type.

The case  $(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^K$  is related to another case of particular interest. Observe that any polyhedral product  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$  is a subset of the product  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . In the special case  $(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^K$ , Denham and Suciu [DS] show that there is a homotopy fibration (that is, a fibration, up to homotopy)

$$(1) \quad (\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K \longrightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{*})^K \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$$

where  $C\Omega X$  is the cone on  $\Omega X$ . Special cases of this fibration recover some classical results in homotopy theory. For example, if  $K$  is two distinct points, then  $(\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K$  is the fibre of the inclusion  $X_1 \vee X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ . Ganea [G] identified the homotopy type of this fibre as  $\Sigma \Omega X_1 \wedge \Omega X_2$ . If  $K = \Delta_k^{n-1}$  is the full  $k$ -skeleton of the standard  $n$ -simplex, then Porter [P1] showed that for  $0 \leq k \leq n-2$  there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{j=k+2}^n \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n} \binom{j-1}{k+1} \Sigma^{k+1} \Omega X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega X_{i_j} \right),$$

where  $j \cdot Y$  denotes the wedge sum of  $j$  copies of the space  $Y$ .

The emergence of toric topology in the late 1990's brought renewed attention to these classical results, in a new context. Davis and Januszkiewicz [DJ] constructed a new family of manifolds with a torus action. The construction started with a simple convex polytope  $P$  on  $n$  vertices, passed to the simplicial complex  $K = \partial P^*$  - the boundary of the dual of  $P$ , and associated to it a manifold  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  with a torus action and an intermediate space  $DJ(K)$ , which fit into a homotopy fibration

$$\mathcal{Z}_K \longrightarrow DJ(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}P^\infty.$$

Buchstaber and Panov [BP1] recognized the space  $DJ(K)$  as  $(\underline{\mathbb{C}P}^\infty, \underline{*})^K$ , and this allowed them to generalize Davis and Januszkiewicz's construction to a homotopy fibration

$$\mathcal{Z}_K \longrightarrow DJ(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}P^\infty$$

for any simplicial complex  $K$  on  $n$  vertices. Here,  $DJ(K) = (\underline{\mathbb{C}P}^\infty, \underline{*})^K$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_K = (\underline{D}^2, \underline{S}^1)^K$ . The spaces  $DJ(K)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  are central objects of study in toric topology, and their thorough study in [BP1, BP2] launched toric topology into the mainstream of modern algebraic topology. The generalization to polyhedral products soon followed in unpublished notes by Strickland and under the name  $K$ -powers in [BP1], and came to prominence in recent work of Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and Gitler [BBCG1].

Following Ganea's and Porter's results, it is natural to ask when the homotopy type of the fibre  $(\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K$  in (1) can be recognized. It is too ambitious to hope to do this for all  $K$ , but it is reasonable to expect that it can be done for certain families of simplicial complexes. This is precisely what was done in earlier work of the authors. A simplicial complex  $K$  is *shifted* if there is an ordering on its vertices such that whenever  $\sigma \in K$  and  $\nu' < \nu$ , then  $(\sigma - \nu) \cup \nu' \in K$ . This

is a fairly large family of complexes, which includes Porter's case of full  $k$ -skeletons of a standard  $n$ -simplex. In [GT1] it was shown that if  $K$  is shifted, then there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(2) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} \Sigma^{\alpha(t)} \Omega X_1^{(\alpha_1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega X_n^{(\alpha_n)}$$

for some index set  $\mathcal{I}$  (which can be made explicit), where if  $\alpha_i = 0$  then the smash product is interpreted as omitting the factor  $X_i$  rather than being trivial. The homotopy equivalence (2) has implications in combinatorics. In [BP1], it was shown that  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  is homotopy equivalent to the complement of the coordinate subspace arrangement determined by  $K$ . Such spaces have a long history of study by combinatorists. In particular, as  $\mathcal{Z}_K = (\underline{D}^2, \underline{S}^1)^K$ , the homotopy equivalence (2) implies that  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres, which answered a major outstanding problem in combinatorics.

Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and Gitler [BBCG1] gave a general decomposition of  $\Sigma(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ , which in the special case of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  is as follows. Regard the simplices of  $K$  as ordered sequences,  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  where  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ . Let  $\widehat{X}^I = X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$ . Let  $Y * Z$  be the *join* of the topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , and recall that there is a homotopy equivalence  $Y * Z \simeq \Sigma Y \wedge Z$ . Let  $K_I \subseteq K$  be the full subcomplex of  $K$  consisting of the simplices in  $K$  which have all their vertices in  $K$ , that is,  $K = \{\sigma \cap I \mid \sigma \in K\}$ . Let  $|K|$  be the geometric realization of the simplicial complex  $K$ . Then for any simplicial complex  $K$ , there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(3) \quad \Sigma(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right).$$

In particular, (3) agrees with the suspension of the homotopy equivalence in (2) in the case of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and Gitler conjectured that if  $K$  is shifted then (3) desuspends. Our main result is that this conjecture is true.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $K$  be a shifted-complex. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right).$$

The methods used to prove the results in [GT1] in the case  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  involved analyzing properties of the fibration (1). In the general case of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ , no such fibration exists, so we need to develop new methods. An added benefit is that these new methods also give a much faster proof of the results in [GT1]. As well, in Sections 7 and 8 we extend our methods to desuspend (3) in cases where  $K$  is not shifted.

## 2. A SPECIAL CASE

Let  $\Delta^{n-1}$  be the standard  $n$ -simplex. For  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , let  $\Delta_k^{n-1}$  be the full  $k$ -skeleton of  $\Delta^{n-1}$ . In this brief section we will identify  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  when  $K = \Delta_{n-2}^{n-1}$ . We begin with some general observations which hold for any  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K$  and suppose that  $\sigma_1 \subseteq \sigma_2$ . Then  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma_1} \subseteq (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma_2}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma_1} = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  where  $Y_i = X_i$  if  $i \in \sigma_1$  and  $Y_i = A_i$  if  $i \notin \sigma_1$ . Similarly,  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma_2} = \prod_{i=1}^n Y'_i$  where  $Y'_i = X_i$  if  $i \in \sigma_2$  and  $Y'_i = A_i$  if  $i \notin \sigma_2$ . Since  $\sigma_1 \subseteq \sigma_2$ , if  $i \in \sigma_1$  then  $i \in \sigma_2$  so  $Y_i = Y'_i$ . On the other hand, if  $i \notin \sigma_1$  then  $Y_i = A_i$ , implying that  $Y_i \subseteq Y'_i$ . Thus  $\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n Y'_i$  and the lemma follows.  $\square$

A face  $\sigma \in K$  is called *maximal* if there is no other face  $\sigma' \in K$  with the property that  $\sigma \subsetneq \sigma'$ . In other words, a non-maximal face of  $K$  is a proper subset of another face of  $K$ . So  $K$  is the union of its maximal faces. Lemma 2.1 then immediately implies the following.

**Corollary 2.2.** *There is an equality of sets  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{I}} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$  where  $\mathcal{I}$  runs over the list of maximal faces of  $K$ .*  $\square$

For example, let  $K = \Delta_{n-2}^{n-1}$ . The maximal faces of  $K$  are  $\bar{\sigma}_i = (1, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $\hat{i}$  means omit the  $i^{\text{th}}$ -coordinate. Thus  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{\sigma}_i$  and Corollary 2.2 implies that  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \underline{X}^{\bar{\sigma}_i}$ . Explicitly, we have  $\underline{X}^{\bar{\sigma}_i} = X_1 \times \dots \times A_i \times \dots \times X_n$  so

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_1 \times \dots \times A_i \times \dots \times X_n.$$

As a special case, consider  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Then

$$(4) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \underline{CX}^{\bar{\sigma}_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \dots \times X_i \times \dots \times CX_n.$$

Porter [P1, Appendix, Theorem 3] showed that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma^{n-1} X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n \simeq \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \dots \times X_i \times \dots \times CX_n.$$

Thus we obtain the following.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $K = \Delta_{n-2}^{n-1}$ . Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \Sigma^{n-1} X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n.$$

$\square$

### 3. SOME GENERAL PROPERTIES OF POLYHEDRAL PRODUCTS

In this section we establish some general properties of polyhedral products which will be used later. First, we consider how the polyhedral product functor behaves with respect to a union of simplicial complexes. Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices and suppose that  $K = K_1 \cup_L K_2$ . Relabelling the vertices if necessary, we may assume that  $K_1$  is defined on the vertices  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $K_2$  is defined on the vertices  $\{m-l+1, \dots, n\}$  and  $L$  is defined on the vertices  $\{m-l+1, \dots, m\}$ . By

including the vertex set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  into the vertex set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , we may regard  $K_1$  as a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. Call the resulting simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices  $\overline{K}_1$ . Similarly, we may define simplicial complexes  $\overline{K}_2$  and  $\overline{L}$  on  $n$  vertices. Then we have  $K = \overline{K}_1 \cup_{\overline{L}} \overline{K}_2$ . The point in doing this is that the four objects  $K$ ,  $\overline{K}_1$ ,  $\overline{K}_2$  and  $\overline{L}$  are now in the same category of simplicial complexes on  $n$  vertices, so we may apply the polyhedral product functor.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $K$  be a finite simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. Suppose  $K = K_1 \cup_L K_2$  where  $L = K_1 \cap K_2$ . Then*

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} \cup_{(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}}} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $K = K_1 \cup_L K_2$  and  $K$  is finite, the faces in  $K$  can be put into three finite collections: (A) the faces in  $L$ , (B) the faces in  $K_1$  that are not faces of  $L$  and (C) the faces of  $K_2$  that are not faces of  $L$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} \sigma \\ K_1 &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} \sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma' \in B} \sigma' \right) \\ K_2 &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} \sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma'' \in C} \sigma'' \right) \\ K &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} \sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma' \in B} \sigma' \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma'' \in C} \sigma'' \right). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, for any simplicial complex  $M$  on  $n$  vertices,  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M = \bigcup_{\sigma \in M} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$ . So in our case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}} &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma' \in B} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma'} \right) \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2} &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma'' \in C} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma''} \right) \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma' \in B} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma'} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma'' \in C} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma''} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} \cup (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2}$  and  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}} = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} \cap (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2}$ . That is,

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} \cup_{(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}}} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2}.$$

□

It is appealing to slightly alter the statement of Proposition 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $K = K_1 \cup_L K_2$  is a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices then*

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K_1 \cup_L K_2}} = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K_1}} \cup_{(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}}} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K_2}}.$$

*That is, the polyhedral product functor commutes with pushouts.*  $\square$

Next, suppose  $K$  is a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Let  $L$  be a subcomplex of  $K$ . Reordering the indices of necessary, assume that the vertices of  $L$  are  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  for  $m \leq n$ . For the application we have in mind, specialize to  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Let  $\hat{X} = \prod_{i=m+1}^n X_i$ . Since the indices of the factors in  $\hat{X}$  are complementary to the vertex set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  of  $L$ , the inclusion  $L \rightarrow K$  induces an inclusion  $I: (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \times \hat{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . In Proposition 3.4 we show that the restriction of  $I$  to  $\hat{X}$  is null homotopic. We first need a preparatory lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *The inclusion*

$$J: X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n$$

*is null homotopic.*

*Proof.* For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let  $F_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Then  $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_n$ , and  $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^n$  is a filtration of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Observe that  $J$  factors as a composite of inclusions  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_n$ .

Consider first the inclusion  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow F_1 = CX_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . The cone in the first coordinate of  $F_1$  implies that this inclusion is homotopic to the composite  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} CX_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$ , where  $\pi_1$  is the projection and  $\varphi_1$  is the inclusion. Composing into  $F_2 = CX_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \cup X_1 \times CX_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n$ , we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & CX_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n \\ & \nearrow \pi_1 & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \\ X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_2 \end{array}$$

where the square strictly commutes and each map in the square is an inclusion. As before, the map  $X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow CX_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n$  in the top row is homotopic to the composite  $X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow CX_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n$  where the left map is the projection and the right map is the inclusion. Thus the inclusion  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow F_2$  is homotopic to the composite  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_2} X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_2$ , where  $\pi_2$  is the projection and  $\varphi_2$  is an inclusion. Iterating, we obtain that the inclusion  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{j} F_n$  is homotopic to the composite  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} * \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_n$  where  $\pi_n$  is the projection and  $\varphi_n$  is the inclusion. Hence  $J$  is null homotopic.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  and let  $L$  be a subcomplex of  $K$  on  $[m]$ , where  $m \leq n$ . Suppose that each vertex  $\{i\} \in K$  for  $m+1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\widehat{X} = \prod_{i=m+1}^n X_i$ . Then the restriction of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \times \widehat{X} \xrightarrow{I} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  to  $\widehat{X}$  is null homotopic.*

*Proof.* By definition of the polyhedral product,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\{i\}} = X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Since each vertex  $\{i\} \in K$  for  $m+1 \leq i \leq n$ , we obtain an inclusion

$$I'': \bigcup_{i=m+1}^n X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K.$$

As the indices  $\{m+1, \dots, n\}$  are complementary to the vertex set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  of  $L$ , the restriction of  $I''$  to  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$  factors through  $i: (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Thus we can take the coordinate-wise product of  $i$  and  $I''$  to obtain an inclusion

$$I': (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \times \left( \bigcup_{i=m+1}^n X_{m+1} \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n \right) \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K.$$

Observe that  $I = I' \circ J'$ , where  $J'$  is the inclusion

$$J': (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \times X_{m+1} \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{1 \times J} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \times \left( \bigcup_{i=m+1}^n X_{m+1} \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n \right).$$

By Lemma 3.3,  $J$  is null homotopic. Thus the restriction of  $J'$  to  $\widehat{X} = X_{m+1} \times \cdots \times X_n$  is null homotopic. Therefore the restriction of  $I$  to  $\widehat{X}$  is null homotopic.  $\square$

It is worth pointing out the special case when  $L = \emptyset$ . By the definition of the polyhedral product,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\emptyset = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Considering the inclusion  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\emptyset \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ , Proposition 3.4 immediately implies the following.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  and suppose that each vertex is in  $K$ . Then the inclusion  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  is null homotopic.*  $\square$

#### 4. A CONDITION ON $K$ FOR IDENTIFYING THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.6, which considers conditions for building a simplicial complex  $K$  one simplex at a time in such a way that the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  can be determined. This will be a key tool in proving Theorem 1.1, which identifies the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  for a shifted complex  $K$ .

We begin with a standard definition from combinatorics. Given simplicial complexes  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  on sets  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  respectively, the *join*  $K_1 * K_2$  is the simplicial complex

$$K_1 * K_2 := \{\sigma \subset \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 \mid \sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2, \sigma_1 \in K_2, \sigma_2 \in K_1\}$$

on the set  $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ . The definition of the polyhedral product immediately implies the following.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be simplicial complexes on index sets  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\{n+1, \dots, m\}$  respectively. Then  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_1 * K_2} = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_1} \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_2}$ .*  $\square$

For example, if  $K$  is a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  then  $K * \{n+1\}$  is the cone on  $K$ . Applying Lemma 4.1 we obtain the following, which will be of use later.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Then  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K * \{n+1\}} = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \times X_{n+1}$ . Consequently, we obtain  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K * \{n+1\}} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \times CX_{n+1}$ .  $\square$*

As another way in which joins will be used later, consider the inclusions of  $\Delta_{n-2}^{n-1}$  into  $\Delta_{n-2}^{n-1} * \{n+1\}$  and  $\Delta^{n-1}$ . If  $L$  is the pushout of these two inclusions, then checking simplices immediately shows that  $L = \Delta_{n-1}^n$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *There is a pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{n-2}^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta_{n-2}^{n-1} * \{n+1\} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{n-1}^n. \end{array}$$

$\square$

Applying Proposition 3.1 in the case of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})$  to the pushout in Lemma 4.3, we obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$(5) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{n-1}^n} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{n-2}^{n-1} * \{n+1\}} \cup_{(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\Delta}_{n-2}^{n-1}}} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\Delta}^{n-1}}.$$

It will be useful to state this homotopy equivalence more explicitly. By (4),

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{n-2}^{n-1}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n.$$

So by definition of  $\overline{\Delta}_{n-2}^{n-1}$  we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\Delta}_{n-2}^{n-1}} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times X \times CX_k \right) \times X_{n+1}.$$

As well, by the definition of the polyhedral product, we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta^{n-1}} = CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n.$$

So by definition of  $\overline{\Delta}^{n-1}$  we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\Delta}^{n-1}} = CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n \times X_{n+1}.$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{n-2}^{n-1} * \{n+1\}} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{n-2}^{n-1}} \times CX_{n+1}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{n-2}^{n-1} * \{n+1\}} = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \right) \times CX_{n+1}.$$

Therefore (5) states the following.



**Lemma 4.4.** *There is a pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times X \times CX_n) \times X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{b} & CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n \times X_{n+1} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\ (\bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n) \times CX_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{n-1}^n} \end{array}$$

where the maps  $a$  and  $b$  are coordinate-wise inclusions.  $\square$

Note that this pushout identifies  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{n-1}^n}$  as  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_{n+1}$ , which matches the description in (4). Since  $a$  is a coordinate-wise inclusion and  $CX_{n+1}$  is contractible,  $a$  is homotopic to the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\pi}_1: \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times X \times CX_n \right) \times X_{n+1} &\xrightarrow{\pi_1} \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \\ &\xrightarrow{i_1} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \right) \times CX_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_1$  is the projection and  $i_1$  is the inclusion. Similarly, since  $b$  is a coordinate-wise inclusion and  $CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n$  is contractible,  $b$  is homotopic to the composite

$$\bar{\pi}_2: \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times X \times CX_n \right) \times X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{i_2} CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n \times X_{n+1}$$

where  $\pi_2$  is the projection and  $i_2$  is the inclusion.

The pushout in Lemma 4.4 and the description of the maps  $a$  and  $b$  play a key role in helping to identify the homotopy types of certain  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ 's. The statement we are aiming for is Theorem 4.6. We first need a preliminary lemma which identifies the homotopy type of a certain pushout. For a product  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ , let  $\pi_j: \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow X_j$  be the projection onto the  $j^{\text{th}}$ -factor.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose there is a homotopy pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{\pi_2 \times \pi_3} & B \times C \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

where  $f$  factors as the composite  $A \times B \times C \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_3} A \times C \xrightarrow{f'} P$ . Then there is a homotopy equivalence

$$Q \simeq D \vee [(A * B) \rtimes C]$$

where  $D$  is the cofibre of  $f'$ .

*Proof.* First we recall two general facts. First, the pushout of the projections  $X \times Y \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X$  and  $X \times Y \xrightarrow{\pi_2} Y$  is homotopy equivalent  $X * Y$ , and the map from each of  $X$  and  $Y$  into  $X * Y$  is null

homotopic. Second, if  $Q$  is the pushout of maps  $X \xrightarrow{a} Y$  and  $X \xrightarrow{b} Z$  then, for any space  $T$ , the pushout of  $X \times T \xrightarrow{a \times 1} Y \times T$  and  $X \times T \xrightarrow{b \times 1} Z \times T$  is  $Q \times T$ .

In our case, since  $f$  factors through the projection onto  $A \times C$ , there is a diagram of iterated homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{\pi_2 \times \pi_3} & B \times C \\ \downarrow \pi_1 \times \pi_3 & & \downarrow \\ A \times C & \xrightarrow{g} & R \\ \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

which defines the space  $R$  and the map  $g$ . Observe that the top square is the product of  $C$  with the pushout of the projections  $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} A$  and  $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B$ . Thus  $R \simeq (A * B) \times C$  and  $g \simeq * \times 1$ . The identification of  $R$  and  $g$  lets us write the bottom pushout above as a diagram of iterated homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \times C & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & C & \xrightarrow{1} & (A * B) \times C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & Q. \end{array}$$

By hypothesis, the restriction of  $A \times C \rightarrow P$  to  $C$  is null homotopic. Thus we can pinch out  $C$  in the previous diagram to obtain a diagram of iterated homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \rtimes C & \xrightarrow{f'} & * & \longrightarrow & (A * B) \rtimes C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & Q. \end{array}$$

The left pushout implies that  $D$  is the homotopy cofibre of  $f'$ , and the right pushout immediately implies that  $Q \simeq D \vee [(A * B) \rtimes C]$ .  $\square$

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  and suppose that  $K = K_1 \cup_{\partial\sigma} \sigma$  for some simplicial complex  $K_1$  and simplex  $\sigma$ . We consider cases where the inclusion of  $\sigma$  into  $K_1$  factors through a cone on  $\sigma$ , and use this to help identify the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . To be concrete, we need some notation. For a sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , let  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  be the full  $(k-1)$ -dimensional simplex on the vertices  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ . Let  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  be the full  $(k-2)$ -skeleton of  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . To match a later application of Theorem 4.6 in Section 5, we will assume that in  $K = K_1 \cup_{\partial\sigma} \sigma$  we have  $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  and  $i_1 \geq 2$ . Observe that the inclusion of  $\partial\sigma$  into  $K_1$  induces a map  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\sigma} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1}$ . By Proposition 2.3, there is a homotopy equivalence  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\sigma} \simeq X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}$ , so we obtain a map  $X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1}$ . Let  $(j_1, \dots, j_{n-k-1})$  be the complement of  $(1, i_1, \dots, i_k)$  in  $(1, \dots, n)$ , and assume that  $j_1 < \dots < j_{n-k-1}$ . Let  $\hat{X} = \prod_{t=1}^{n-k-1} X_{j_t}$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Suppose that  $K = K_1 \cup_{\partial\sigma} \sigma$  where:*

- (a) *for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the vertex  $\{i\} \in K_1$ ;*
- (b)  *$\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  for  $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ ;*
- (c)  *$\sigma \notin K_1$ ;*
- (d)  *$(1) * \partial\sigma \subseteq K_1$ .*

*Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq D \vee [((X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) * X_1) \rtimes \widehat{X}]$$

*where  $D$  is the cofibre of the map  $(X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \widehat{X} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\sigma} \rtimes \widehat{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the inclusion  $\partial\sigma \rightarrow K_1$  factors as the composite  $\partial\sigma \rightarrow (1) * \partial\sigma \rightarrow K_1$ , we obtain an iterated pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\sigma & \longrightarrow & \sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (1) * \partial\sigma & \longrightarrow & L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_1 & \longrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

which defines the simplicial complex  $L$ . Proposition 3.1 therefore implies there is an iterated pushout diagram

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\partial\sigma}} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\sigma}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{(1)*\partial\sigma}} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{L}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K. \end{array}$$

where the bar over each of  $\partial\sigma$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $(1) * \sigma$  and  $L$  means they are to be regarded as simplicial complexes on the index set  $[n]$ .

By hypothesis,  $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , so  $\sigma = \Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . The pushout defining  $L$  therefore implies that  $L = \Delta_{1, i_1, \dots, i_k}^k$ . Now, arguing in the same way that produced the diagram in Lemma 4.4, an explicit description of the upper pushout in (6) is as follows:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^k CX_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_j} \times \dots \times X \times CX_{i_k} \right) \times X_1 \times \widehat{X} & \xrightarrow{b} & CX_{i_1} \times \dots \times CX_{i_k} \times X_1 \times \widehat{X} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\ \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^k CX_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_j} \times \dots \times CX_{i_k} \right) \times CX_1 \times \widehat{X} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-1}^{1, i_1, \dots, i_k}} \times \widehat{X}. \end{array}$$

Note that, rearranging the indices, (7) is just the product of a pushout as in Lemma 4.4 with  $\widehat{X}$ . As well, as noted after Lemma 4.4, up to homotopy,  $a$  factors through the projection onto  $\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k CX_{i_1} \times \cdots \times X_{i_j} \times \cdots \times X \times CX_{i_k}\right) \times \widehat{X}$  and  $b$  factors through the projection onto  $X_1 \times \widehat{X}$ . By Proposition 2.3, there are homotopy equivalences

$$\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k CX_{i_1} \times \cdots \times X_{i_j} \times \cdots \times X \times CX_{i_k}\right) \simeq X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}$$

and

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-2}^{1, i_1, \dots, i_k}} \simeq X_1 * X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}.$$

Thus, up to homotopy equivalences, (7) is equivalent to the homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times X_1 \times \widehat{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & X_1 \times \widehat{X} \\ \downarrow \text{proj} & & \downarrow \\ (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \widehat{X} & \longrightarrow & (X_1 * X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \widehat{X}. \end{array}$$

Therefore, up to homotopy equivalences, (6) is equivalent to the iterated homotopy pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times X_1 \times \widehat{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & X_1 \times \widehat{X} \\ \downarrow \text{proj} & & \downarrow \\ (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \widehat{X} & \longrightarrow & (X_1 * X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \widehat{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K. \end{array}$$

By hypothesis, each vertex  $\{i\} \in K_1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , so Proposition 3.4 implies that the restriction of  $(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \widehat{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1}$  to  $\widehat{X}$  is null homotopic. Thus the outer perimeter of the previous diagram is a homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times X_1 \times \widehat{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & X_1 \times \widehat{X} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \end{array}$$

where  $f$  factors as the composite  $(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times X_1 \times \widehat{X} \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_3} (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \widehat{X} \longrightarrow (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \widehat{X} \xrightarrow{f'} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1}$ . Lemma 4.5 therefore implies that

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq D \vee [(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) * X_1) \rtimes \widehat{X}],$$

where  $D$  is the cofiber of the map  $(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \widehat{X} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\sigma} \rtimes \widehat{X} \xrightarrow{f'} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1}$ .  $\square$

## 5. POLYHEDRAL PRODUCTS FOR SHIFTED COMPLEXES

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. To begin, we introduce some definitions which are standard in combinatorics.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. The complex  $K$  is *shifted* if there is an ordering on its vertices such that whenever  $\sigma \in K$  and  $\nu' < \nu$ , then  $(\sigma - \nu) \cup \nu' \in K$ .

It may be helpful to interpret this definition in terms of ordered sequences. Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $[n]$  and order the vertices by their integer labels. If  $\sigma \in K$  with vertices  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  where  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots, i_k \leq n$ , then regard  $\sigma$  as the ordered sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . The shifted condition states that if  $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in K$  then  $K$  contains every simplex  $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  with  $l \leq k$  and  $t_1 \leq i_1, \dots, t_l \leq i_l$ .

**Examples 5.2.** We give three examples.

- (1) Let  $K$  be the simplicial complex with vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 4)\}$ . That is,  $K$  is two copies of  $\Delta_1^2$  glued along a common edge. Then  $K$  is shifted.
- (2) Let  $K$  be the simplicial complex with vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4), (1, 4)\}$ . That is,  $K$  is the boundary of a square. Then  $K$  is not shifted.
- (3) For  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , the full  $k$ -skeleton of  $\Delta^n$  is shifted.

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex. The *rest*, *star* and *link* of a simplex  $\sigma \in K$  are the subcomplexes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{star}_K \sigma &= \{\tau \in K \mid \sigma \cup \tau \in K\}; \\ \text{rest}_K \sigma &= \{\tau \in K \mid \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset\}; \\ \text{link}_K \sigma &= \text{star}_K \sigma \cap \text{rest}_K \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

There are three standard facts that follow straight from the definitions. First, there is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{link}_K \sigma & \longrightarrow & \text{rest}_K \sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{star}_K \sigma & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Second, if  $K$  is shifted then so are  $\text{rest}_K \sigma$ ,  $\text{star}_K \sigma$  and  $\text{link}_K \sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in K$ . Third,  $\text{star}_K \sigma$  is a join:  $\text{star}_K \sigma = \sigma * \text{link}_K \sigma$ .

For  $K$  a simplicial complex on  $[n]$  and  $\sigma$  being a vertex  $(i)$ , we write  $\text{rest}\{1, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\text{star}(i)$  and  $\text{link}(i)$  for  $\text{rest}_K \sigma$ ,  $\text{star}_K \sigma$  and  $\text{link}_K \sigma$ . To illustrate, take  $i_1 = 1$ . Then  $\text{star}(1)$  consists of those simplices  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in K$  with  $i_1 = 1$ ;  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  consists of those simplices  $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in K$  with  $j_1 > 1$ , and  $\text{link}(1) = \text{star}(1) \cap \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ . The three useful facts mentioned above become

the following. First, there is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{link}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{star}(1) & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Second, if  $K$  is shifted then so are  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\text{star}(1)$  and  $\text{link}(1)$ . Third,  $\text{star}(1)$  is a join:  $\text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$ .

Next, we require four lemmas to prepare for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The first two are about shifted complexes, and the next two are about decompositions.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $K$  be a shifted complex on the index set  $[n]$ . If  $\sigma \in \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $\partial\sigma \in \text{link}(1)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the ordered sequence corresponding to  $\sigma$  is  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . Then  $\partial\sigma = \bigcup_{j=1}^k \sigma_j$  for  $\sigma_j = (i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k)$ , where  $\hat{i}_j$  means omit the  $j^{\text{th}}$ -coordinate. So to prove the lemma it is equivalent to show that  $\sigma_j = (i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k) \in \text{link}(1)$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

Fix  $j$ . Observe that  $\sigma_j = (i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k)$  is a sequence of length  $k-1$  and  $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ . We claim that the sequence  $(1, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k)$  of length  $k$  represents a face of  $K$ . This holds because, as ordered sequences, we have  $(1, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k) < (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , and the shifted property for  $K$  implies that as  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in K$ , any ordered sequence less than  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  also represents a face of  $K$ . Now, as  $(1, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k) \in K$ , we clearly have  $(1, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k) \in \text{star}(1)$ . Thus the sub-simplex  $(i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k)$  is also in  $\text{star}(1)$ . That is,  $\sigma_j \in \text{star}(1)$ . Hence  $\sigma_j \in \text{star}(1) \cap \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\} = \text{link}(1)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** In Lemma 5.4, it may be that  $\sigma$  itself is in  $\text{link}(1)$ , but this need not be the case. For if we argue as in the proof of the lemma, we obtain  $\sigma \in \text{link}(1)$  if and only if  $(1, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in K$ .

**Remark 5.6.** It is also worth noting that as  $\partial\sigma \in \text{link}(1)$  and  $\text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$ , we have  $(1) * \partial\sigma \subseteq \text{star}(1)$ . That is, the cone on  $\partial\sigma$  is in  $\text{star}(1)$ .

We say that a face  $\tau$  of a simplicial complex  $K$  is *maximal* if there is no other face  $\tau' \in K$  with  $\tau$  a proper subset of  $\tau'$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $K$  be a shifted complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Then the map  $\text{link}(1) \longrightarrow \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  is filtered by a sequence of simplicial complexes*

$$\text{link}(1) = L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_m = \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$$

where  $L_i = L_{i-1} \cup \tau_i$  and  $\tau_i$  satisfies:

- (a)  $\tau_i$  is maximal in  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ ;
- (b)  $\tau_i \notin \text{link}(1)$ ;
- (c)  $\partial\tau_i \in \text{link}(1)$ .

*Proof.* In general, if  $L$  is a connected simplicial complex and  $L_0 \subseteq L$  is a subcomplex (not necessarily connected), it is possible to start with  $L_0$  and sequentially glue in faces one at a time to get  $L$ . That is, there is a sequence of simplicial complexes  $L_0 = \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_m = L$  where  $L_i = L_{i-1} \cup \tau_i$  for some simplex  $\tau_i \in L$ ,  $\tau_i \notin L_{i-1}$  and the union is taken over the boundary  $\partial\tau_i$  of  $\tau_i$ . In addition, it may be assumed that the adjoined faces  $\tau_i$  are maximal in  $L$ . Thus parts (a) and (b) of the lemma follow. For part (c), since  $K$  is shifted and each  $\tau_i \in \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ , Lemma 5.4 implies that  $\partial\sigma \in \text{link}(1)$ .  $\square$

Next, we turn to the two decomposition lemmas.

**Lemma 5.8.** *For any spaces  $M, N_1, \dots, N_m$ , there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Sigma M \rtimes (N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m) \simeq \Sigma M \vee \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq m} \Sigma M \wedge N_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge N_{i_k} \right).$$

*Proof.* In general,  $\Sigma X \rtimes Y \simeq \Sigma X \vee (\Sigma X \wedge Y)$ , so it suffices to decompose  $\Sigma M \wedge (N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m)$ . Iterating the basic fact that  $\Sigma(X \times Y) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \vee (\Sigma X \wedge Y)$ , we obtain a homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma(N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m) \simeq \bigvee_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq m} (\Sigma N_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge N_{i_k})$ . Thus, as  $X * Y \simeq \Sigma X \wedge Y \simeq X \wedge \Sigma Y$  for any space  $X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M * (N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m) &\simeq M \wedge \Sigma(N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m) \\ &\simeq M \wedge \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq m} \Sigma N_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge N_{i_k} \right) \\ &\simeq \bigvee_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq m} M \wedge \Sigma N_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge N_{i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Recall from Section 4 that if  $K$  is a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  then  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  is the full  $(k-1)$ -dimensional simplex on the vertex set  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  for  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ , and  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  is the full  $(k-2)$ -skeleton of  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Suppose for some sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ , we have  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subseteq K$  but  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \not\subseteq K$ . Then the map  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  induced by the inclusion  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \rightarrow K$  has a left inverse. Consequently,  $X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}$  is a retract of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subseteq K$  but  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \not\subseteq K$ , projecting the index set  $[n]$  onto the index set  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  induces a projection  $K \rightarrow \Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . Observe that the composite  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  is the identity map. Thus the induced composite  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}}$  is the identity map. Consequently, the homotopy equivalence  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \simeq X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}$  of Proposition 2.3 implies that  $X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}$  is a retract of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ .  $\square$

We expand on Lemma 5.9. Let  $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}\}$  be the vertices in  $[n]$  which are complementary to  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ . Let  $\widehat{X} = \prod_{t=1}^{n-k} X_{j_t}$ . Since the index sets  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  and  $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}\}$  are complementary the inclusion  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \rightarrow K$  induces an inclusion  $I: (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \times \widehat{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . If each vertex of  $[n]$  is in  $K$ , Proposition 3.4 implies that the restriction of  $I$  to  $\widehat{X}$  is null homotopic. Thus  $I$  factors through a map  $I': (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \rtimes \widehat{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ .

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  for which each vertex is in  $K$ . Suppose for some sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , we have  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subseteq K$  but  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subsetneq K$ . Then the map  $I': (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \rtimes \widehat{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  induced by the inclusion  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \rightarrow K$  has a left inverse after suspending.*

*Proof.* The hypothesis that  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subseteq K$  but  $\Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subsetneq K$  implies that  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is a (minimal) missing face of  $K$ . Thus any other sequence  $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  for  $l \leq n$  with  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  a subsequence also represents a missing face of  $K$ . The decomposition in (3) therefore implies that  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{k-1} X_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{t_l})$  is a summand of  $\Sigma(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Notice that any sequence  $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  is obtained by starting with  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  and inserting  $l - k$  distinct element from the complement  $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}\}$  of  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  in  $[n]$ . Thus the list of all the wedge summands  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{k-1} X_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{t_l})$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the wedge summands of  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{k-1} X_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{i_k}) \rtimes \widehat{X}$  after applying Lemma 5.8. Hence  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{k-1} X_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{i_k}) \rtimes \widehat{X}$  retracts off  $\Sigma(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result in the paper. For convenience, let  $\mathcal{W}_n$  be the collection of spaces which are either contractible or homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spaces of the form  $\Sigma^j X_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{i_k}$  for  $j \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ . Note that for each  $n > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The proof is by induction on the number of vertices. If  $n = 1$  then  $K = \{1\}$ , which is shifted, and the definition of the polyhedral product implies that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K = CX$ , which is contractible. Thus  $K \in \mathcal{W}_1$ .

Assume the theorem holds for all shifted complexes on  $k$  vertices, with  $k < n$ . Let  $K$  be a shifted complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Consider the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{link}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{star}(1) & \longrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

and recall that  $\text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$ . Since  $K$  is shifted, so are  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\text{star}(1)$  and  $\text{link}(1)$ . Note that  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  is a shifted complex on  $n - 1$  vertices, and as  $\text{link}(1)$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ , it too is a shifted complex on  $n - 1$  vertices. Therefore, by inductive hypothesis,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)} \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ .

By Lemma 5.7, the map  $\text{link}(1) \rightarrow \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  is filtered by a sequence of simplicial complexes

$$\text{link}(1) = L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_m = \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$$



where  $L_i = L_{i-1} \cup \tau_i$  and  $\tau_i$  satisfies: (i)  $\tau_i$  is maximal in  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ ; (ii)  $\tau_i \notin \text{link}(1)$ ; and (iii)  $\partial\tau_i \in \text{link}(1)$ . In particular, for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , there is a pushout

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial\tau_i & \longrightarrow & \tau_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & L_i. \end{array}$$

Let  $K_0 = \text{star}(1)$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , define  $K_i$  as the simplicial complex obtained from the pushout

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & L_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & K_i. \end{array}$$

Observe that we obtain a filtration of the map  $\text{star}(1) \rightarrow K$  as a sequence  $\text{star}(1) = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_m = \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ . Juxtaposing the pushouts in (8) and (9) we obtain a pushout

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial\tau_i & \longrightarrow & \tau_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & K_i. \end{array}$$

Since  $\partial\tau_i \in \text{link}(1)$ , Remark 5.6 implies that  $(1) * \partial\tau_i \in \text{star}(1)$ . Thus as  $\text{star}(1) = K_0$ , the map  $\partial\tau_i \rightarrow K_{i-1}$  factors as the composite  $\partial\tau_i \rightarrow (1) * \partial\tau_i \rightarrow \text{star}(1) = K_0 \rightarrow K_{i-1}$ . That is, the inclusion of  $\partial\tau_i$  into  $K_{i-1}$  factors through the cone on  $\partial\tau_i$ .

We now argue that each  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_j} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . First consider  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0}$ . Since  $K_0 = \text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$ , by Lemma 4.1 we have  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{(1)} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)}$ . By the definition of the polyhedral product,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{(1)} = CX_1$ , so  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)}$ . By inductive hypothesis,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)} \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ . Thus  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ .

Next, fix an integer  $j$  such that  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and assume that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . We have  $K_j = K_{j-1} \cup_{\partial\tau_j} \tau_j$ . Since  $\tau_1 = \Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  for some sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , we have  $\partial\tau_1 = \Delta_{k-2}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . Let  $(j_1, \dots, j_{n-k-1})$  be the complement of  $(1, i_1, \dots, i_k)$  in  $[n]$ , and let  $\hat{X} = \prod_{t=1}^{n-k-1} X_{j_t}$ . Since  $\partial\tau_j \rightarrow K_{j-1}$  factors through  $(1) * \partial\tau_j$ , by Theorem 4.6 there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_j} \simeq D_j \vee \left( ((X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) * X_1) \rtimes \hat{X} \right)$$

where  $D_j$  is the cofiber of the map  $(X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \hat{X} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\tau_j} \rtimes \hat{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}}$ . Since  $\hat{X}$  is a product, if we take  $M = X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k} * X_1$  then Lemma 5.8 implies that  $((X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) * X_1) \rtimes \hat{X} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . If  $D_j \in \mathcal{W}_n$  as well, then  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_j} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . Therefore, by induction,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_m} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . But  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_m}$ , so  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \in \mathcal{W}_n$ , which completes the inductive step on the number of vertices and therefore proves the theorem.

It remains to show that  $D_j \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . Consider the cofibration

$$(X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \hat{X} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\tau_j} \rtimes \hat{X} \xrightarrow{f} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \rightarrow D_j.$$

Since  $\tau_j \notin K_{j-1}$ , Lemma 5.10 implies that  $\Sigma f$  has a left homotopy inverse. We claim that this implies that  $f$  has a left homotopy inverse. By Lemma 5.8,  $(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \widehat{X} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ , and by inductive hypothesis,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . Thus both of these spaces are homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spaces of the form  $\Sigma^j X_{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{t_l}$  for various  $j$  and sequences  $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  with  $l \leq n$ . Observe that each space  $\Sigma^j X_{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{t_l}$  is coordinate-wise indecomposable (that is,  $\Sigma^j X_{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{t_l}$  does not decompose as a wedge of spaces  $\Sigma^j X_{u_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{u_{l'}}$  for various sequences  $(u_1, \dots, u_{l'})$ .) Thus  $f$  maps a wedge of coordinate-wise indecomposable spaces into another such wedge. As  $f$  respects the coordinate indices, the left homotopy inverse for  $\Sigma f$  implies that  $f$  has a left homotopy inverse.

Now, since  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \in \mathcal{W}_n$  (and is nontrivial), it is a suspension. Therefore, the existence of a left homotopy inverse for  $f$  implies that there is a homotopy equivalence  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \simeq (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \vee D_j$ . Thus  $D_j$  is a retract of a space in  $\mathcal{W}_n$ , implying that  $D_j \in \mathcal{W}_n$ .

Finally, at this point we have shown that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \in \mathcal{W}_n$ , so  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{\mathcal{J}} \Sigma^j X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$  for some index set  $J$ . We need to show that the list of spaces in this wedge decomposition matches the list in the statement of the theorem,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right)$ . But after suspending, by [BBCG1] there is a homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right)$ , so we obtain

$$(11) \quad \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{\mathcal{J}} \Sigma^j X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k} \right) \simeq \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right).$$

The wedge summands  $X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$  are indecomposable in a coordinate-wise sense - that is,  $X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$  is not homotopy equivalent to a wedge  $(X_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{j_l}) \vee (X_{j'_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{j'_{l'}})$ . Therefore the wedge summands that appear on each side of the equivalence in (11) must be the same and appear with the same multiplicity. Thus the two indexing sets in (11) coincide, so we obtain  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right)$ , as required.  $\square$

## 6. EXAMPLES

We consider the two shifted cases from Examples (5.2). First, let  $K = \Delta_k^{n-1}$ , the full  $k$ -skeleton of  $\Delta^{n-1}$ . Phrased in terms of polyhedral products, Porter [P2] showed that for any simply-connected spaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{j=k+2}^n \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n} \binom{j-1}{k+1} \Sigma^{k+1} \Omega X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega X_{i_j} \right).$$

Theorem 1.1 now generalizes this. If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are any path-connected spaces, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{j=k+2}^n \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n} \binom{j-1}{k+1} \Sigma^{k+1} X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_j} \right).$$

For example, this decomposition holds not just for  $X_i = \Omega S^{n_i}$  as in Porter's case, but also for the spheres themselves,  $X_i = S^{n_i}$ .

Second, let  $K$  be the simplicial complex in Examples 5.2 (1), which is two copies of  $\Delta_1^2$  glued along a common edge. Specifically,  $K$  is the simplicial complex with vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 4)\}$ . To illustrate the algorithmic nature of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will carry out the iterative procedure for identifying the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Starting with  $K_0 = \text{star}(1)$ , we glue in one edge at a time: let  $K_1 = K_0 \cup_{\{2,3\}} (2, 3)$  and  $K_2 = K_1 \cup_{\{2,4\}} (2, 4)$ . Note that  $K_2 = K$ . We begin to identify homotopy types.

*Step 1:* For  $K_0$  we have  $\text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$  where  $\text{link}(1) = \{2, 3, 4\}$ . So Lemma 4.1 implies that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{star}(1)} \simeq CX_1 \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)}$ . Since  $\text{link}(1) = \Delta_0^2$ , we can apply the previous example to obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0} \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee 2 \cdot (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

*Step 2:* Since  $K_1 = K_0 \cup_{\{2,3\}} (2, 3)$ , Theorem 4.6 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(12) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \simeq D_1 \vee [(X_2 * X_3 * X_1) \rtimes X_4]$$

where there is a split cofibration  $(X_2 * X_3) \rtimes X_4 \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})_{K_0} \longrightarrow D_1$ . As  $(X_2 * X_3) \rtimes X_4 \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4)$ , the homotopy equivalence for  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0}$  in Step 1 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$D_1 \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

Thus (12) implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

*Step 3:* Since  $K_2 = K_1 \cup_{\{2,4\}} (2, 4)$ , Theorem 4.6 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(13) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} \simeq D_2 \vee [(X_2 * X_4 * X_1) \rtimes X_3]$$

where there is a split cofibration  $(X_2 * X_4) \rtimes X_3 \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})_{K_1} \longrightarrow D_2$ . As  $(X_2 * X_4) \rtimes X_3 \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4)$ , the homotopy equivalence for  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1}$  in Step 2 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$D_2 \simeq (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

Thus (13) implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} \simeq (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee 2 \cdot (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

## 7. EXTENSIONS OF THE METHOD I: GLUING ALONG A COMMON FACE

The basic idea behind proving Theorem 1.1 was to present  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  as the end result of a sequence of pushouts, and then analyze the homotopy theory of the pushouts. In these terms, the key ingredient of the proof was Lemma 4.5. The idea behind the method is therefore very general. One can look for different constructions of  $K$  which translate to a sequence of homotopy pushouts constructing  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ , whose homotopy theory can be analyzed. This may apply to different classes of complexes  $K$  other than the shifted class. In this section we give such a construction.

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Suppose  $K = K_1 \cup_\tau K_2$  for  $\tau$  a simplex in  $K$ . That is,  $K$  is the result of gluing  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  together along a common face. Relabelling the vertices if necessary, we may assume that  $K_1$  is defined on the vertices  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $K_2$  is defined on the vertices  $\{m-l+1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\tau$  is defined on the vertices  $\{m-l+1, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $\overline{K}_1$ ,  $\overline{K}_2$  and  $\overline{\tau}$  be  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  and  $\tau$  regarded as simplicial complexes on  $[n]$ . So  $K = \overline{K}_1 \cup_{\overline{\tau}} \overline{K}_2$ .

Let  $\sigma \in K_1$  and let  $\overline{\sigma}$  be its image in  $\overline{K}_1$ . By definition of  $\overline{\sigma}$ , we have  $i \notin \overline{\sigma}$  for  $i \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\sigma}} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\sigma \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n$ . Consequently, taking the union over all the faces in  $\overline{K}_1$ , we obtain

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{K}_1} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{K}_2} = X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2}.$$

Since  $\tau = \Delta_{m-l-1}$ , we have  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau = CX_{m-l+1} \times \dots \times CX_m$ , so as above we obtain

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\tau}} = X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l} \times CX_{m-l+1} \times \dots \times CX_m \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n.$$

Since  $K = K_1 \cup_\tau K_2$ , by Proposition 3.1 there is a pushout

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{a} & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n \\ \downarrow b & & \downarrow \\ X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \end{array}$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are coordinate-wise inclusions.

We next identify the homotopy classes of  $a$  and  $b$ . We use the Milnor-Moore convention of writing the identity map  $Y \rightarrow Y$  as  $Y$ . To simplify notation, let  $M = X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l}$  and  $N = X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n$ . Then the domain of  $a$  and  $b$  is  $M \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau \times N$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  are coordinate-wise inclusions, their homotopy classes are determined by their restrictions to  $M$ ,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau$  and  $N$ . Consider  $a$ . Since each vertex  $\{i\} \in K$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-l$ , Corollary 3.5 implies that the restriction of  $a$  to  $M$  is null homotopic. Since  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau$  is a product of cones, it is contractible, so the restriction of  $a$  to  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau$  is null homotopic. Since  $a$  is a coordinate-wise

inclusion, it is the identity map on  $X_{m+1} \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Thus  $a \simeq * \times * \times N$ . Similarly,  $b \simeq M \times * \times *$ . Thus we can rewrite (14) as a pushout

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau \times N & \xrightarrow{f \times N} & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \times N \\ \downarrow M \times g & & \downarrow \\ M \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \end{array}$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are null homotopic.

We wish to identify the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . To do so we use a general lemma, proved in [GT1]. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be spaces. Recall that the *join* of  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A * B = A \times I \times B / \sim$ , where  $(x, 0, y_1) \sim (x, 0, y_2)$  and  $(x_1, 1, y) \sim (x_2, 1, y)$ , and there is a homotopy equivalence  $A * B \simeq \Sigma A \wedge B$ . The *left half-smash* of  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \ltimes B = A \times B / \sim$  where  $(a, *) \sim *$ , and the *right half-smash* of  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \rtimes B = A \times B / \sim$  where  $(*, b) \sim *$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{* \times B} & C \times B \\ \downarrow A \times * & & \downarrow \\ A \times D & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

*be a homotopy pushout. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$Q \simeq (A * B) \vee (A \ltimes D) \vee (C \rtimes B).$$

□

Lemma 4.5 does not quite fit the setup in (15). To get this we need a slight adjustment.

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times E \times B & \xrightarrow{f \times B} & C \times B \\ \downarrow A \times g & & \downarrow \\ A \times D & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

*be a homotopy pushout, where  $E$  is contractible and  $f$  and  $g$  are null homotopic. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$Q \simeq (A * B) \vee (A \ltimes D) \vee (C \rtimes B).$$

*Proof.* Let  $j: A \times B \rightarrow A \times E \times B$  be the inclusion. Observe that  $(f \times B) \circ j \simeq * \times B$  and  $(A \times g) \circ j \simeq A \times *$ . Thus as  $E$  is contractible,  $j$  is a homotopy equivalence and the pushout in the statement of this lemma is equivalent, up to homotopy, to the pushout in the statement of Lemma 4.5. The homotopy equivalence for  $Q$  now follows. □

Applying Lemma 7.2 to the pushout in (15), we obtain the following.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Suppose that  $K = K_1 \cup_\tau K_2$  where  $\tau$  is a common face of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq (M * N) \vee ((\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \rtimes N) \vee (M \ltimes (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2}) \vee$$

where  $M = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{m-l}$  and  $N = X_{m+1} \times \cdots \times X_n$ .  $\square$

For example, let  $K$  be the simplicial complex in Example 5.2 (1). Then  $K$  can be obtained by gluing two copies of  $\Delta_1^2$  along an edge. Specifically,  $K = K_1 \cup_\tau K_2$  where  $K_1$  is the simplicial complex on vertices  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  having edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$ ;  $K_2$  is the simplicial complex on vertices  $\{1, 2, 4\}$  having edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 4), (2, 4)\}$ ; and  $\tau$  is the edge  $(1, 2)$ . Since  $K_1 = \Delta_1^2$ , Proposition 2.3 implies that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \simeq \Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3$ . Similarly,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} \simeq \Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_4$ . In general, the space  $M$  is the product of the  $X_i$ 's where  $i$  is not a vertex of  $K_2$ , and similarly for  $N$  and  $K_1$ . So in this case  $M = X_3$  and  $N = X_4$ . Theorem 7.3 therefore implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq (X_3 * X_4) \vee ((\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \rtimes X_4) \vee (X_3 \ltimes \Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_4).$$

In general, there is a homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma A \ltimes B \simeq \Sigma A \vee (\Sigma A \wedge B)$ , and similarly for the right half-smash. Thus in our case we obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee 2 \cdot (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

This matches the answer in Section 6.

Note that in this case  $K$  is shifted, but Theorem 7.3 also applies to nonshifted complexes. For example, let  $L_2$  be the previous example of two copies of  $\Delta_1^2$  glued along an edge. Now glue another copy of  $\Delta_1^2$  to  $L_2$  along an edge. Then we obtain a complex  $L_3$  on  $[5]$  which is not shifted, but the homotopy equivalence for  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{L_2}$  and Theorem 7.3 imply that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{L_3} \in \mathcal{W}_5$ . In the same way, we could continue to iteratively glue in more copies of  $\Delta_1^2$  along a common edge and obtain non-shifted complexes  $L_{n-2}$  on  $[n]$  with  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{L_{n-2}} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ .

## 8. EXTENSIONS OF THE METHOD II: THE SIMPLICIAL WEDGE CONSTRUCTION

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Fix a vertex  $v_i$ . Define a new simplicial complex  $K(v_i)$  on the  $n+1$  vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  by

$$K(v_i) = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\} * \text{link}_K(v_i) \cup (v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}) * \text{rest}_K(v_i).$$

The simplicial complex  $K(v_i)$  is called the *simplicial wedge* of  $K$  on  $v_i$ . This construction arises in combinatorics (see [PB]) and has the important property that if  $K$  is the boundary of the dual of a polytope then so is  $K(v_i)$ .

As in [BBCG2], the construction can be iterated. To set this up, let  $(1, \dots, 1)$  be a sequence of  $n$  copies of 1, corresponding to the vertex set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . The vertex doubling operation of  $v_i$

in the simplicial wedge construction gives a new vertex set for  $K(v_i)$  – listed above – to which we associate the sequence  $(1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$  of length  $n$ , where the 2 appears in position  $i$ . The sequence  $(1, \dots, 1, 3, 1, \dots, 1)$  then corresponds to either the simplicial wedge  $(K(v_i))(v_{i,1})$  or to  $(K(v_i))(v_{i,2})$ . However, these two complexes are equivalent, so the choice of vertex  $v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}$  does not matter. More generally, let  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  be a sequence of positive integers. Define a new simplicial complex  $K(J)$  on vertices

$$\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j_1}, v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{2,j_2}, \dots, v_{n,1}, \dots, v_{n,j_n}\}$$

by iteratively applying the simplicial wedge construction, starting with  $K$ .

We will show that if  $K$  is shifted then, for any  $J$ , there is a homotopy decomposition  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)} \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K(J)} |K(J)_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right)$ . This improves on Theorem 1.1 because the class of simplicial complexes obtained from shifted complexes by simplicial wedge constructions is strictly larger than the class of shifted complexes. We give an example to illustrate this.

**Example 8.1.** Let  $K$  be the simplicial complex consisting of vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3)\}$ . Observe that  $K$  is shifted. Apply the simplicial wedge product which doubles vertex 4, that is, let  $J = (1, 1, 1, 2)$ . Then  $K(J)$  is a simplicial complex on vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b\}$ . We have  $K(J) = (4a, 4b) * \text{link}_K(4) \cup \{4a, 4b\} * \text{rest}_K(4)$ . Here,  $\text{link}_K(4) = \emptyset$  so  $(4a, 4b) * \text{link}_K(4) = (4a, 4b)$ . As well,  $\text{rest}_K(4)$  has vertices  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3)\}$ , so  $\{4a, 4b\} * \text{rest}_K(4)$  has vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b\}$  and is the union of the faces  $\{(1, 2, 4a), (1, 2, 4b), (1, 3, 4a), (1, 3, 4b)\}$ .

We claim that  $K(J)$  is not shifted. Observe that the edge  $(2, 3) \notin K(J)$ , but every other possible edge is in  $K(J)$ . That is,  $(x, y) \in K(J)$  for every  $x, y \in \{1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b\}$  except  $(2, 3)$ . Thus with the ordering  $1 < 2 < 3 < 4a < 4b$ ,  $K(J)$  does not satisfy the shifted condition as  $(2, 4a) \in K(J)$  would imply that  $(2, 3) \in K(J)$ . So if  $K(J)$  is to be shifted, we must reorder the vertices. Let  $\{1', 2', 3', 4', 5'\}$  be the new labels of the vertices. To satisfy the shifted condition we need to send the vertices  $\{2, 3\}$  to  $\{4', 5'\}$ . The vertices  $\{1, 4a, 4b\}$  are therefore sent to  $\{1', 2', 3'\}$ . Now observe that the face  $(1, 4a, 4b) \notin K(J)$ . Thus in the new ordering, the face  $(1', 2', 3') \notin K(J)$ . The shifted condition therefore implies that no 2-dimensional faces are in  $K(J)$ , a contradiction. Hence there is no reordering of the vertices of  $K(J)$  for which the shifted condition holds. Hence  $K(J)$  is not shifted.

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $K$  be a shifted complex on  $n$  vertices. If  $v_i \in K$  is a vertex, then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(v_i)} \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K(v_i)} |K(v_i)_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right).$$

*Proof.* We have  $K(v_i) = (v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}) * \text{link}_K(v_i) \cup \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\} * \text{rest}_K(v_i)$ . Recall that, by definition,  $\text{link}_K(v_i) \subseteq \text{rest}_K(v_i)$ . Thus  $(v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}) * \text{link}_K(v_i) \cap \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\} * \text{rest}_K(v_i) = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\} * \text{link}_K(v_i)$ .

We can therefore regard  $K(v_i)$  as a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\} * \text{link}_K(v_i) & \longrightarrow & \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\} * \text{rest}_K(v_i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}) * \text{link}_K(v_i) & \longrightarrow & K(v_i). \end{array}$$

Thus Proposition 3.1 implies that there is a pushout of spaces

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\}} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}_K(v_i)} & \xrightarrow{1 \times g} & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\}} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{rest}_K(v_i)} \\ \downarrow f \times 1 & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{(v_{i,1}, v_{i,2})} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}_K(v_i)} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(v_i)} \end{array}$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are inclusions. Since  $\{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\}$  is a copy of  $\Delta_0^1$ , Proposition 2.3 implies that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\}} \simeq \Sigma X_{v_{i,1}} * X_{v_{i,2}}$ . Since  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{(v_{i,1}, v_{i,2})}$  is a copy of  $\Delta^1$ , the definition of the polyhedral product implies that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{(v_{i,1}, v_{i,2})} \simeq CX_{v_{i,1}} \times CX_{v_{i,2}} \simeq *$ . Thus, up to homotopy equivalences, (16) is equivalent to the homotopy pushout

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\Sigma X_{v_{i,1}} * X_{v_{i,2}}) \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}_K(v_i)} & \xrightarrow{1 \times g} & (\Sigma X_{v_{i,1}} * X_{v_{i,2}}) \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{rest}_K(v_i)} \\ \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}_K(v_i)} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(v_i)}. \end{array}$$

We will compare (17) to another pushout. Since  $K$  is shifted, there is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{link}_K(v_i) & \longrightarrow & \text{rest}_K(v_i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{star}_K(v_i) & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Recall that  $\text{star}_K(v_i) = (v_i) * \text{link}_K(v_i)$ . Thus Proposition 3.1 implies that there is a pushout of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{v_i} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}_K(v_i)} & \xrightarrow{1 \times g} & X_{v_i} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{rest}_K(v_i)} \\ \downarrow f \times 1 & & \downarrow \\ CX_{v_i} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}_K(v_i)} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \end{array}$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are inclusions. Since  $CX_{v_i}$  is contractible, we obtain a homotopy pushout diagram

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_{v_i} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}_K(v_i)} & \xrightarrow{1 \times g} & X_{v_i} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{rest}_K(v_i)} \\ \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}_K(v_i)} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K. \end{array}$$



Observe that (17) and (18) have exactly the same format. That is, (17) is precisely (18) with the space  $X_{v_i}$  replaced by  $\Sigma X_{v_{i,1}} * X_{v_{i,2}}$ . Thus exactly the same procedure used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be applied to show that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)} \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K(J)} |K(J)_I| * \hat{X}^I \right)$ .  $\square$

Since  $K(v_i)$  need not be shifted, Proposition 8.2 cannot be used to produce iterative decompositions of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)}$  for any  $J$ . However, the methods involved should be adaptable to the more general case. So we conjecture that for any  $J$ , there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)} \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K(J)} |K(J)_I| * \hat{X}^I \right).$$

## REFERENCES

- [BBCG1] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F.R. Cohen, and S. Gilter, The polyhedral product functor: a method of decomposition for moment-angle complexes, arrangements and related spaces, *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), 1634-1668.
- [BBCG2] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F.R. Cohen, and S. Gilter, A new topological construction of infinite families of toric manifolds implying fan reduction, arXiv:1011.0094.
- [BP1] V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, University Lecture Series **24**, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [BP2] V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, *Toric actions in topology and combinatorics* (Russian version), Moskovskio Tsentr Nepreryvnogo Matematicheskogo Obrazovaniya, Moscow, 2004.
- [DJ] M.W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **62** (1991), 417-452.
- [DS] G. Denham and A. Suciu, Moment-angle complexes, monomial ideals and Massey products, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3** (2007), 25-60.
- [FT] Y. Félix and D. Tanré, Rational homotopy of the polyhedral product functor, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), 891-898.
- [G] T. Ganea, A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspension, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **39** (1965), 295-322.
- [GT1] J. Grbić and S. Theriault, The homotopy type of the complement of a coordinate subspace arrangement, *Topology* **46** (2007), 357-396.
- [GT2] J. Grbić and S. Theriault, Higher Whitehead products in toric topology, arXiv:1011.2133.
- [NR] D. Notbohm and N. Ray, On Davis-Januszkiewicz homotopy types, I. Formality and rationalization, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **5** (2005), 31-51.
- [P1] G.J. Porter, Higher order Whitehead products, *Topology* **3** (1965), 123-135.
- [P2] G.J. Porter, The homotopy groups of wedges of suspensions, *Amer. J. Math.* **88** (1966), 655-663.
- [PB] J.S. Provan and L.J. Billera, Decompositions of simplicial complexes related to diameters of convex polyhedra, *Math. Oper. Res.* **5** (1980), 576-594.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, MANCHESTER M13 9PL, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* Jelena.Grbic@manchester.ac.uk

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN, ABERDEEN AB24 3UE, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* s.theriault@abdn.ac.uk

# THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE POLYHEDRAL PRODUCT FOR SHIFTED COMPLEXES

JELENA GRBIĆ AND STEPHEN THERIAULT

**ABSTRACT.** We prove a conjecture of Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and Gitler: if  $K$  is a shifted simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are pointed connected  $CW$ -complexes and  $CX_i$  is the cone on  $X_i$ , then the polyhedral product determined by  $K$  and the pairs  $(CX_i, X_i)$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of suspensions of smashes of the  $X_i$ 's. Earlier work of the authors dealt with the special case where each  $X_i$  is a loop space. New techniques are introduced to prove the general case. These have the advantage of simplifying the earlier results and of being sufficiently general to show that the conjecture holds for a substantially larger class of simplicial complexes. We discuss connections between polyhedral products and toric topology, combinatorics, and classical homotopy theory.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Polyhedral products generalise the notion of a product of spaces. They are of widespread interest due to their being fundamental objects which arise in many areas of mathematics. For example, in algebraic geometry special cases of polyhedral products are toric projective varieties, in combinatorics they appear as the complements of complex coordinate subspace arrangements, in convex geometry they can be recognised as intersections of quadrics, in dynamical systems they arise as invariants of a system, and in robotics they are related to configuration spaces of planar linkages. Their topological properties have attracted a great deal of recent attention due to their emergence as central objects of study in toric topology. This includes the foundational work in [BP1, BP2], and treatments of their cohomology rings [BP2, DS], rational homotopy [FT, NR], and homotopy types [BBCG1, BBCG2, GT1, GT2].

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $(X_i, A_i)$  be a pair of pointed  $CW$ -complexes, where  $A_i$  is a pointed subspace of  $X_i$ . Let  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \{(X_i, A_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be the sequence of pairs. For each simplex (face)  $\sigma \in K$ , let  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$  be the subspace of  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  defined by

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i \quad \text{where} \quad Y_i = \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if } i \in \sigma \\ A_i & \text{if } i \notin \sigma. \end{cases}$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F55, 55P15, Secondary 52C35.

*Key words and phrases.* Davis-Januszkiewicz space, moment-angle complex, polyhedral product, homotopy type.

The *polyhedral product* determined by  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$  and  $K$  is

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

For example, suppose each  $A_i$  is a point. If  $K$  is a disjoint union of  $n$  points then  $(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^K$  is the wedge  $X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_n$ , and if  $K$  is the standard  $(n-1)$ -simplex then  $(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^K$  is the product  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ .

The polyhedral product  $(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^K$  is related to another case of particular interest. Observe that any polyhedral product  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$  is a subset of the product  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . In the special case  $(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^K$ , Denham and Suciu [DS] show that there is a homotopy fibration

$$(1) \quad (\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K \longrightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{*})^K \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$$

where  $C\Omega X$  is the cone on  $\Omega X$ . Special cases of this fibration recover some classical results in homotopy theory. For example, if  $K$  is two distinct points, then  $(\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K$  is the fibre of the inclusion  $X_1 \vee X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ . Ganea [G] identified the homotopy type of this fibre as  $\Sigma \Omega X_1 \wedge \Omega X_2$ . If  $K = \Delta_k^{n-1}$  is the full  $k$ -skeleton of the standard  $n$ -simplex, then Porter [P1] showed that for  $0 \leq k \leq n-2$  there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{j=k+2}^n \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n} \binom{j-1}{k+1} \Sigma^{k+1} \Omega X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega X_{i_j} \right)$$

where  $j \cdot Y$  denotes the wedge sum of  $j$  copies of the space  $Y$ .

The emergence of toric topology in the 1990s brought renewed attention to these classical results, in a new context. Davis and Januszkiewicz [DJ] constructed a new family of manifolds with a torus action. The construction started with a simple convex polytope  $P$  with  $n$  facets, passed to the simplicial complex  $K = \partial P^*$  - the boundary of the dual of  $P$ , which has  $n$  vertices - and associated to it a manifold  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  with a torus action and its homotopy orbit space  $DJ(K)$ . As a direct consequence of these definitions, there is a homotopy fibration

$$\mathcal{Z}_K \longrightarrow DJ(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}P^\infty.$$

Buchstaber and Panov [BP1] recognised the spaces  $DJ(K)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  as the polyhedral products  $(\underline{\mathbb{C}P}^\infty, \underline{*})^K$  and  $(\underline{D}^2, \underline{S}^1)^K$  respectively, which allowed them to generalise Davis and Januszkiewicz's construction to any simplicial complex  $K$  on  $n$  vertices (not necessarily the boundary of the dual of a simple polytope) and keep the homotopy fibration

$$\mathcal{Z}_K \longrightarrow DJ(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}P^\infty$$

for any simplicial complex  $K$  on  $n$  vertices. The spaces  $DJ(K)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  are central objects of study in toric topology, and their thorough study in [BP1, BP2] launched toric topology into the mainstream of modern algebraic topology. The generalisation to polyhedral products soon followed in unpublished notes by Strickland and under the name  $K$ -powers in [BP1], appeared without

fanfare in [GT1, GT2], and came to prominence in recent work of Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and Gitler [BBCG1].

Following Ganea's and Porter's results, it is natural to ask when the homotopy type of the fibre  $(\underline{C}\Omega X, \underline{\Omega}X)^K$  in (1) can be recognised. It is too ambitious to hope to do this for all  $K$ , but it is reasonable to expect that it can be done for certain families of simplicial complexes. This is precisely what was done in earlier work of the authors. A simplicial complex  $K$  is *shifted* if there is an ordering on its vertices such that whenever  $\sigma \in K$  and  $\nu' < \nu$ , then  $(\sigma - \nu) \cup \nu' \in K$ . This is a fairly large family of complexes, which includes Porter's case of full  $k$ -skeletons of a standard  $n$ -simplex. In [GT1] it was shown that for a family of complexes which contains shifted complexes (and some non-shifted complexes), there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(2) \quad (\underline{C}\Omega X, \underline{\Omega}X)^K \simeq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} \Sigma^{\alpha(t)} \Omega X_1^{(\alpha_1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega X_n^{(\alpha_n)}$$

for some index set  $\mathcal{I}$  (which can be made explicit), where if  $\alpha_i = 0$  then the smash product is interpreted as omitting the factor  $X_i$  rather than being trivial. The homotopy equivalence (2) has implications in combinatorics. In [BP1], it was shown that  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  is homotopy equivalent to the complement of the coordinate subspace arrangement determined by  $K$ . Such spaces have a long history of study by combinatorists. In particular, as  $\mathcal{Z}_K = (\underline{D}^2, \underline{S}^1)^K$ , the homotopy equivalence (2) implies that  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres, which answered a major outstanding problem in combinatorics.

Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and Gitler [BBCG1] gave a general decomposition of  $\Sigma(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ , which in the special case of  $\Sigma(\underline{C}X, \underline{X})^K$  is as follows. Regard the simplices of  $K$  as ordered sequences,  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  where  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ . Let  $\widehat{X}^I = X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$ . Let  $Y * Z$  be the *join* of the topological spaces  $Y$  and  $Z$ , and recall that there is a homotopy equivalence  $Y * Z \simeq \Sigma Y \wedge Z$ . Let  $K_I \subseteq K$  be the full subcomplex of  $K$  consisting of the simplices in  $K$  which have all their vertices in  $I$ , that is,  $K_I = \{\sigma \cap I \mid \sigma \in K\}$ . Let  $|K|$  be the geometric realisation of the simplicial complex  $K$ . Then for any simplicial complex  $K$ , there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(3) \quad \Sigma(\underline{C}X, \underline{X})^K \simeq \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right).$$

In particular, (3) agrees with the suspension of the homotopy equivalence in (2) in the case of  $(\underline{C}\Omega X, \underline{\Omega}X)^K$ . Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and Gitler conjectured that if  $K$  is shifted then (3) desuspends. Our main result is that this conjecture is true.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $K$  be a shifted complex. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{C}X, \underline{X})^K \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right).$$

The methods used to prove the results in [GT1] in the case  $(\underline{C}\Omega X, \underline{\Omega}X)^K$  involved analysing properties of the fibration (1). In the general case of  $(\underline{C}X, \underline{X})^K$ , no such fibration exists, so we need

to develop new methods. An added benefit is that these new methods also give a much faster proof of the results in [GT1]. As well, in Sections 7 and 8 we extend our methods to desuspend (3) in cases where  $K$  is not necessarily shifted.

## 2. A SPECIAL CASE

Let  $\Delta^{n-1}$  be the standard  $(n-1)$ -simplex. In this brief section we will identify  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  when  $K = \partial\Delta^{n-1}$ . We begin with some general observations which hold for any  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K$  and suppose that  $\sigma_1 \subseteq \sigma_2$ . Then  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma_1} \subseteq (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma_2}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma_1} = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  where  $Y_i = X_i$  if  $i \in \sigma_1$  and  $Y_i = A_i$  if  $i \notin \sigma_1$ . Similarly,  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma_2} = \prod_{i=1}^n Y'_i$  where  $Y'_i = X_i$  if  $i \in \sigma_2$  and  $Y'_i = A_i$  if  $i \notin \sigma_2$ . Since  $\sigma_1 \subseteq \sigma_2$ , if  $i \in \sigma_1$  then  $i \in \sigma_2$  so  $Y_i = Y'_i$ . On the other hand, if  $i \notin \sigma_1$  then  $Y_i = A_i$ , implying that  $Y_i \subseteq Y'_i$ . Thus  $\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n Y'_i$  and the lemma follows.  $\square$

A face  $\sigma \in K$  is called *maximal* if there is no other face  $\sigma' \in K$  with the property that  $\sigma \subsetneq \sigma'$ . In other words, a non-maximal face of  $K$  is a proper subset of another face of  $K$ . Therefore the geometric realisation  $|K|$  of  $K$  is the union of the geometric realisations of the maximal faces of  $K$ . Lemma 2.1 then immediately implies the following.

**Corollary 2.2.** *There is an equality of spaces  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{I}} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$  where  $\mathcal{I}$  runs over the list of maximal faces of  $K$ .*  $\square$

For example, let  $K = \partial\Delta^{n-1}$ . The maximal faces of  $K$  are  $\bar{\sigma}_i = (1, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $\hat{i}$  means omit the  $i^{\text{th}}$ -coordinate. Thus  $|K| = \bigcup_{i=1}^n |\bar{\sigma}_i|$  and Corollary 2.2 implies that  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \underline{X}^{\bar{\sigma}_i}$ . Explicitly, we have  $\underline{X}^{\bar{\sigma}_i} = X_1 \times \dots \times A_i \times \dots \times X_n$  so

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_1 \times \dots \times A_i \times \dots \times X_n.$$

As a special case, consider  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Then

$$(4) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \underline{CX}^{\bar{\sigma}_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \dots \times X_i \times \dots \times CX_n.$$

Porter [P1, Appendix, Theorem 3] showed that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma^{n-1} X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n \simeq \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \dots \times X_i \times \dots \times CX_n.$$

It will be convenient later to regard  $\Sigma^{n-1} X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n$  as an iterated join. Recall that the *join* of spaces  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A * B = A \times I \times B / \sim$ , where  $(x, 0, y_1) \sim (x, 0, y_2)$  and  $(x_1, 1, y) \sim (x_2, 1, y)$ , and there is a homotopy equivalence  $A * B \simeq \Sigma A \wedge B$ . Iterating in our case, we obtain a homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma^{n-1} X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n \simeq X_1 * \dots * X_n$ . Thus we obtain the following.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $K = \partial\Delta^{n-1}$ . Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq X_1 * \cdots * X_n.$$

□

### 3. SOME GENERAL PROPERTIES OF POLYHEDRAL PRODUCTS

In this section we establish some general properties of polyhedral products which will be used later. First, we consider how the polyhedral product functor behaves with respect to a union of simplicial complexes. Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices and suppose that  $K = K_1 \cup_L K_2$ . Relabelling the vertices if necessary, we may assume that  $K_1$  is defined on the vertices  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $K_2$  is defined on the vertices  $\{m-l+1, \dots, n\}$  and  $L$  is defined on the vertices  $\{m-l+1, \dots, m\}$ . By including the vertex set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  into the vertex set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , we may regard  $K_1$  as a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. Call the resulting simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices  $\overline{K}_1$ . Similarly, we may define simplicial complexes  $\overline{K}_2$  and  $\overline{L}$  on  $n$  vertices. Then we have  $K = \overline{K}_1 \cup_{\overline{L}} \overline{K}_2$ . The point in doing this is that we can now construct polyhedral products for all four objects  $K$ ,  $\overline{K}_1$ ,  $\overline{K}_2$  and  $\overline{L}$  using the same pairs of spaces  $(X_i, A_i)_{i=1}^n$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. Suppose there is a pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \longrightarrow & K_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_1 & \longrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

where  $L = K_1 \cap K_2$ . Then there is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \end{array}$$

where each of the maps is an inclusion. Consequently, the polyhedral product commutes with pushouts.

*Proof.* Since  $K = K_1 \cup_L K_2$  and  $K$  is finite, the simplices in  $K$  can be put into three finite collections:

(A) the simplices in  $L$ , (B) the simplices in  $K_1$  that are not simplices of  $L$  and (C) the simplices of

$K_2$  that are not simplices of  $L$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} \sigma \\ K_1 &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} \sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma' \in B} \sigma' \right) \\ K_2 &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} \sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma'' \in C} \sigma'' \right) \\ K &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} \sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma' \in B} \sigma' \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma'' \in C} \sigma'' \right). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, for any simplicial complex  $M$  on  $n$  vertices,  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M = \bigcup_{\sigma \in M} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$ . So in our case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}} &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma' \in B} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma'} \right) \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2} &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma'' \in C} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma''} \right) \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}} &= \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in A} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma' \in B} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma'} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\sigma'' \in C} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\sigma''} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}} = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} \cap (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2}$  we have

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}} = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_1} \cup_{(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{L}}} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\overline{K}_2}$$

which implies the existence of the asserted pushout.  $\square$

Next, suppose  $K$  is a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. Let  $L$  be a subcomplex of  $K$ . Reordering the indices if necessary, assume that the vertices of  $L$  are  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  for  $m \leq n$ . For the application we have in mind, specialise to  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Let  $\overline{X} = \prod_{i=m+1}^n X_i$ . Since the indices of the factors in  $\overline{X}$  are complementary to the vertex set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  of  $L$ , the inclusion  $L \rightarrow K$  induces an inclusion  $I: (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \times \overline{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . In Proposition 3.3 we show that the restriction of  $I$  to  $\overline{X}$  is null homotopic. We first need a preparatory lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The inclusion*

$$J: X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n$$

*is null homotopic.*

*Proof.* For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let  $F_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Then  $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_n$ , and  $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^n$  is a filtration of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Observe that  $J$  factors as a composite of inclusions  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_n$ .

Consider first the inclusion  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow F_1 = CX_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . The cone in the first coordinate of  $F_1$  implies that this inclusion is homotopic to the composite  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} CX_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n$ , where  $\pi_1$  is the projection and  $\varphi_1$  is the inclusion. Composing into  $F_2 = CX_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \cup X_1 \times CX_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n$ , we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n & \longrightarrow & CX_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n \\ & \nearrow \pi_1 & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \\ X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & F_2 \end{array}$$

where the square strictly commutes and each map in the square is an inclusion. As before, the map  $X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow CX_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n$  in the top row is homotopic to the composite  $X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow CX_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n$  where the left map is the projection and the right map is the inclusion. Thus the inclusion  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow F_2$  is homotopic to the composite  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_2} X_3 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_2$ , where  $\pi_2$  is the projection and  $\varphi_2$  is an inclusion. Iterating, we obtain that the inclusion  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{j} F_n$  is homotopic to the composite  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} * \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_n$  where  $\pi_n$  is the projection and  $\varphi_n$  is the inclusion. Hence  $J$  is null homotopic.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  and let  $L$  be a subcomplex of  $K$  on  $[m]$ , where  $m \leq n$ . Suppose that each vertex  $\{i\} \in K$  for  $m+1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\overline{X} = \prod_{i=m+1}^n X_i$ . Then the restriction of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \times \overline{X} \xrightarrow{I} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  to  $\overline{X}$  is null homotopic.*

*Proof.* By definition of the polyhedral product,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\{i\}} = X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Since each vertex  $\{i\} \in K$  for  $m+1 \leq i \leq n$ , we obtain an inclusion

$$I'': \bigcup_{i=m+1}^n X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K.$$

Now there is a commutative diagrams of inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n & \longrightarrow & \bigcup_{i=m+1}^n X_1 \times \cdots \times CX_i \times \cdots \times X_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L \times X_{m+1} \times \cdots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{I} & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \end{array}$$

where, by Lemma 3.2, the restriction of the top horizontal map to  $\overline{X}$  is null homotopic. Therefore the restriction of  $I$  to  $\overline{X}$  is null homotopic.  $\square$



It is worth pointing out the special case when  $L = \emptyset$ . By the definition of the polyhedral product,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\emptyset = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . Considering the inclusion  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\emptyset \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ , Proposition 3.3 immediately implies the following.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices and suppose that each vertex is in  $K$ . Then the inclusion  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  is null homotopic.*  $\square$

#### 4. THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ FOR $K = L \cup_{\partial\sigma} \sigma$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.6, which specifies properties of  $K = L \cup_{\partial\sigma} \sigma$  that allow us to determine the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  from that of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L$ . This will be a key tool in an inductive procedure for proving Theorem 1.1, which identifies the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  for a shifted complex  $K$ .

We begin with a standard definition from combinatorics. Given simplicial complexes  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  on sets  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  respectively, the *join*  $K_1 * K_2$  is the simplicial complex

$$K_1 * K_2 := \{\sigma \subset \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 \mid \sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2, \sigma_1 \in K_2, \sigma_2 \in K_1\}$$

on the set  $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ . The definition of the polyhedral product immediately implies the following.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be simplicial complexes on the index sets  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\{n+1, \dots, m\}$ , respectively. Then  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_1 * K_2} = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_1} \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_2}$ .*  $\square$

If  $K$  is a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  then the *cone* on  $K$  is  $K * \{n+1\}$ . Applying Lemma 4.1, we obtain the following, which will be of use later.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Then  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K * \{n+1\}} = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \times X_{n+1}$ . Consequently,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K * \{n+1\}} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \times CX_{n+1}$ .*  $\square$

As another useful observation, consider the inclusions of  $\partial\Delta^{n-1}$  into  $\partial\Delta^{n-1} * \{n+1\}$  and  $\Delta^{n-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *There is a pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \partial\Delta^{n-1} * \{n+1\} & \longrightarrow & \partial\Delta^n. \end{array}$$

$\square$

Applying Proposition 3.1 in the case of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})$  to the pushout in Lemma 4.3, we obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$(5) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^n} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{n-1} * \{n+1\}} \cup_{(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{n-1}}} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\Delta}^{n-1}}.$$

It will be useful to state this homotopy equivalence more explicitly. By (4),

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{n-1}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n.$$

So by definition of  $\overline{\partial\Delta}^{n-1}$  we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\partial\Delta}^{n-1}} = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \right) \times X_{n+1}.$$

As well, by the definition of the polyhedral product, we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\Delta^{n-1}} = CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n.$$

So by definition of  $\overline{\Delta}^{n-1}$  we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\Delta}^{n-1}} = CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n \times X_{n+1}.$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{n-1} * \{n+1\}} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{n-1}} \times CX_{n+1}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{n-1} * \{n+1\}} = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \right) \times CX_{n+1}.$$

Therefore (5) states the following.

**Lemma 4.4.** *There is a pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n) \times X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{b} & CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n \times X_{n+1} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\ (\bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n) \times CX_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^n} \end{array}$$

where the maps  $a$  and  $b$  are coordinate-wise inclusions. □

Note that this pushout identifies  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^n}$  as  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_{n+1}$ , which matches the description in (4). Since  $a$  is a coordinate-wise inclusion and  $CX_{n+1}$  is contractible,  $a$  is homotopic to the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\pi}_1: \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \right) \times X_{n+1} &\xrightarrow{\pi_1} \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \\ &\xrightarrow{i_1} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \right) \times CX_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_1$  is the projection and  $i_1$  is the inclusion. Similarly, since  $b$  is a coordinate-wise inclusion and  $CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n$  is contractible,  $b$  is homotopic to the composite

$$\bar{\pi}_2: \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n CX_1 \times \cdots \times X_i \times \cdots \times CX_n \right) \times X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{i_2} CX_1 \times \cdots \times CX_n \times X_{n+1}$$

where  $\pi_2$  is the projection and  $i_2$  is the inclusion.

The pushout in Lemma 4.4 and the description of the maps  $a$  and  $b$  play a key role in helping to identify the homotopy types of certain  $(\underline{CX}, X)^K$ 's in Theorem 4.6. Before stating this, we need another preliminary lemma which identifies the homotopy type of a certain pushout. Let  $\pi_j: \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow X_j$  be the projection onto the  $j^{\text{th}}$ -factor. For spaces  $A$  and  $B$ , the *left half-smash* of  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \ltimes B = A \times B / \sim$  where  $(a, *) \sim *$ , and the *right half-smash* of  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \rtimes B = A \times B / \sim$  where  $(*, b) \sim *$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose there is a homotopy pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{\pi_2 \times \pi_3} & B \times C \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q \end{array}$$

where  $f$  factors as the composite  $A \times B \times C \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_3} A \times C \longrightarrow A \rtimes C \xrightarrow{f'} P$ . Then there is a homotopy equivalence

$$Q \simeq D \vee [(A * B) \rtimes C]$$

where  $D$  is the cofibre of  $f'$ .

*Proof.* We start by recalling two general facts. First, the pushout of the projections  $X \times Y \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X$  and  $X \times Y \xrightarrow{\pi_2} Y$  is homotopy equivalent  $X * Y$ , and the map from each of  $X$  and  $Y$  into  $X * Y$  is null homotopic. Second, if  $Q$  is the pushout of maps  $X \xrightarrow{a} Y$  and  $X \xrightarrow{b} Z$  then, for any space  $T$ , the pushout of  $X \times T \xrightarrow{a \times 1} Y \times T$  and  $X \times T \xrightarrow{b \times 1} Z \times T$  is  $Q \times T$ .

In our case, since  $f$  factors through the projection onto  $A \times C$ , there is a diagram of iterated homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{\pi_2 \times \pi_3} & B \times C \\ \downarrow \pi_1 \times \pi_3 & & \downarrow \\ A \times C & \xrightarrow{g} & R \\ \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q \end{array}$$

which defines the space  $R$  and the map  $g$ . Observe that the top square is the product of  $C$  with the pushout of the projections  $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} A$  and  $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B$ . Thus  $R \simeq (A * B) \times C$  and  $g \simeq * \times 1$ . The identification of  $R$  and  $g$  lets us write the bottom pushout above as a diagram of iterated homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \times C & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & C & \xrightarrow{i} & (A * B) \times C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q \end{array}$$

where  $i$  is the inclusion of the second factor. By hypothesis, the restriction of  $A \times C \rightarrow P$  to  $C$  is null homotopic. Thus we can pinch out  $C$  in the previous diagram to obtain a diagram of iterated homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \rtimes C & \xrightarrow{f'} & * & \longrightarrow & (A * B) \rtimes C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & Q. \end{array}$$

The left pushout implies that  $D$  is the homotopy cofibre of  $f'$ , and the right pushout immediately implies that  $Q \simeq D \vee [(A * B) \rtimes C]$ .  $\square$

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices and suppose that  $K = L \cup_{\partial\sigma} \sigma$  for some simplex  $\sigma$  and a simplicial complex  $L$  containing  $\partial\sigma$ . We consider cases where  $L$  contains a simplicial cone on  $\partial\sigma$ , and use this to help identify the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . This requires some notation. For a sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , let  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  be the full  $(k-1)$ -dimensional simplex on the vertices  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ . To match a later application of Theorem 4.6 in Section 5, we will assume that in  $K = L \cup_{\partial\sigma} \sigma$  we have  $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  and  $i_1 \geq 2$ . Observe that the inclusion of  $\partial\sigma$  into  $L$  induces a map  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\sigma} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L$ . By Proposition 2.3, there is a homotopy equivalence  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\sigma} \simeq X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}$ , so we obtain a map  $X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L$ . Let  $(j_1, \dots, j_{n-k-1})$  be the complement of  $(1, i_1, \dots, i_k)$  in  $(1, \dots, n)$ , and assume that  $j_1 < \dots < j_{n-k-1}$ . Let  $\overline{X} = \prod_{t=1}^{n-k-1} X_{j_t}$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. Suppose that  $K = L \cup_{\partial\sigma} \sigma$  where:*

- (a) *for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the vertex  $\{i\} \in L$ ;*
- (b)  *$\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  for  $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ ;*
- (c)  *$\sigma \notin L$ ;*
- (d)  *$(1) * \partial\sigma \subseteq L$ .*

*Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq D \vee [(X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) * X_1) \rtimes \overline{X}]$$

*where  $D$  is the cofibre of the map  $(X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \overline{X} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\sigma} \rtimes \overline{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L$ .*

*Proof.* Since the inclusion  $\partial\sigma \rightarrow L$  factors as the composite  $\partial\sigma \rightarrow (1) * \partial\sigma \rightarrow L$ , we obtain an iterated pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\sigma & \longrightarrow & \sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (1) * \partial\sigma & \longrightarrow & K_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \longrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

which defines the simplicial complex  $K_1$ . Proposition 3.1 therefore implies that there is an iterated pushout diagram

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\partial\sigma}} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\sigma}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{(1)*\partial\sigma}} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{K_1}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K. \end{array}$$

where the bar over each of  $\partial\sigma$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $(1)*\sigma$  and  $K_1$  means they are to be regarded as simplicial complexes on the index set  $[n]$ .

By hypothesis,  $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , so  $\sigma = \Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . The pushout defining  $K_1$  therefore implies that  $K_1 = \partial\Delta^{1, i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . Now, arguing in the same way that produced the diagram in Lemma 4.4, an explicit description of the upper pushout in (6) is as follows

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^k CX_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_j} \times \dots \times CX_{i_k} \right) \times X_1 \times \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{b} & CX_{i_1} \times \dots \times CX_{i_k} \times X_1 \times \overline{X} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\ \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^k CX_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_j} \times \dots \times CX_{i_k} \right) \times CX_1 \times \overline{X} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{1, i_1, \dots, i_k}} \times \overline{X} \end{array}$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are the inclusions. Observe that, rearranging the indices, (7) is just the product of a pushout as in Lemma 4.4 with  $\overline{X}$ . As well, as noted after Lemma 4.4, up to homotopy,  $a$  factors through the projection onto  $\left( \bigcup_{j=1}^k CX_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_j} \times \dots \times CX_{i_k} \right) \times \overline{X}$  and  $b$  factors through the projection onto  $X_1 \times \overline{X}$ . By Proposition 2.3, there are homotopy equivalences

$$\left( \bigcup_{j=1}^k CX_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_j} \times \dots \times CX_{i_k} \right) \simeq X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}$$

and

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{1, i_1, \dots, i_k}} \simeq X_1 * X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}.$$

Thus, up to homotopy equivalences, (7) is equivalent to the homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \times X_1 \times \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & X_1 \times \overline{X} \\ \downarrow \text{proj} & & \downarrow \\ (X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \times \overline{X} & \longrightarrow & (X_1 * X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \times \overline{X}. \end{array}$$

Therefore, up to homotopy equivalences, (6) is equivalent to the iterated homotopy pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times X_1 \times \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & X_1 \times \overline{X} \\
\downarrow \text{proj} & & \downarrow \\
(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \overline{X} & \longrightarrow & (X_1 * X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \overline{X} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K.
\end{array}$$

By hypothesis, each vertex  $\{i\} \in L$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , so Proposition 3.3 implies that the restriction of  $(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \overline{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L$  to  $\overline{X}$  is null homotopic. Thus the outer perimeter of the previous diagram is a homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times X_1 \times \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & X_1 \times \overline{X} \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow \\
(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K
\end{array}$$

where  $f$  factors as the composite  $(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times X_1 \times \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_3} (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \times \overline{X} \longrightarrow (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \overline{X} \xrightarrow{f'} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L$ . Lemma 4.5 therefore implies that

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq D \vee [(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) * X_1] \rtimes \overline{X},$$

where  $D$  is the cofiber of the map  $(X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \overline{X} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\sigma} \rtimes \overline{X} \xrightarrow{f'} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^L$ .  $\square$

## 5. POLYHEDRAL PRODUCTS FOR SHIFTED COMPLEXES

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. To begin, we introduce some definitions from combinatorics.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices. The complex  $K$  is *shifted* if there is an ordering on its vertices such that whenever  $\sigma \in K$  and  $\nu' < \nu$ , then  $(\sigma - \nu) \cup \nu' \in K$ .

It may be helpful to interpret this definition in terms of ordered sequences. Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $[n]$  and order the vertices by their integer labels. If  $\sigma \in K$  with vertices  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  where  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ , then regard  $\sigma$  as the ordered sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . The shifted condition states that if  $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in K$  then  $K$  contains every simplex  $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  with  $l \leq k$  and  $t_1 \leq i_1, \dots, t_l \leq i_l$ .

**Examples 5.2.** We give three examples.

- (1) Let  $K$  be the 1-dimensional simplicial complex with vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$ . So  $|K|$  is two copies of  $|\partial\Delta^2|$  glued along a common edge. Then  $K$  is shifted.

- (2) Let  $K$  be the 1-dimensional simplicial complex with vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 4), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$ . So  $|K|$  is the boundary of a square. Then  $K$  is not shifted.
- (3) For  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , the full  $k$ -skeleton of  $\Delta^n$  is shifted.

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . The *star*, *restriction* and *link* of a simplex  $\sigma \in K$  are the subcomplexes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{star}_K \sigma &= \{\tau \in K \mid \sigma \cup \tau \in K\}; \\ \text{rest}_K [n] \setminus \sigma &= \{\tau \in K \mid \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset\}; \\ \text{link}_K \sigma &= \text{star}_K \sigma \cap \text{rest}_K [n] \setminus \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

There are three standard facts that follow straight from the definitions. First, there is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{link}_K \sigma & \longrightarrow & \text{rest}_K [n] \setminus \sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{star}_K \sigma & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Second, if  $K$  is shifted then so are  $\text{star}_K [n] \setminus \sigma$ ,  $\text{rest}_K \sigma$  and  $\text{link}_K \sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in K$ . Third,  $\text{star}_K \sigma$  is a join:  $\text{star}_K \sigma = \sigma * \text{link}_K \sigma$ .

For  $K$  a simplicial complex on  $[n]$  and  $\sigma$  being a vertex  $(i)$ , we write  $\text{star}(i)$ ,  $\text{rest}\{1, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n\}$  and  $\text{link}(i)$  for  $\text{star}_K [n] \setminus \sigma$ ,  $\text{rest}_K \sigma$  and  $\text{link}_K \sigma$ . To illustrate, take  $i_1 = 1$ . Then  $\text{star}(1)$  consists of those simplices  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in K$  where  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$  and  $i_1 = 1$ ;  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  consists of those simplices  $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in K$  where  $1 < j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n$ , and  $\text{link}(1) = \text{star}(1) \cap \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ . The three useful facts mentioned above become the following. First, there is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{link}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{star}(1) & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

Second, if  $K$  is shifted then so are  $\text{star}(1)$ ,  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\text{link}(1)$ . Third,  $\text{star}(1)$  is a join:  $\text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$ .

Next, we require four lemmas to prepare for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The first two are about shifted complexes, and the next two are about decompositions.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $K$  be a shifted complex on the index set  $[n]$ . If  $\sigma \in \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $\partial \sigma \in \text{link}(1)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the ordered sequence corresponding to  $\sigma$  is  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . Then  $\partial \sigma = \bigcup_{j=1}^k \sigma_j$  for  $\sigma_j = (i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k)$ , where  $\hat{i}_j$  means omit the  $j^{\text{th}}$ -coordinate. So to prove the lemma it is equivalent to show that  $\sigma_j = (i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k) \in \text{link}(1)$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

Fix  $j$ . Observe that  $\sigma_j = (i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k)$  is a sequence of length  $k-1$  and  $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ . We claim that the sequence  $(1, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k)$  of length  $k$  represents a face of  $K$ . This holds because, as ordered sequences, we have  $(1, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k) < (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , and the shifted property

for  $K$  implies that as  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in K$ , any ordered sequence less than  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  also represents a face of  $K$ . Now, as  $(1, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k) \in K$ , we clearly have  $(1, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k) \in \text{star}(1)$ . Thus the sub-simplex  $(i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k)$  is also in  $\text{star}(1)$ . That is,  $\sigma_j \in \text{star}(1)$ . Hence  $\sigma_j \in \text{star}(1) \cap \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\} = \text{link}(1)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** In Lemma 5.4, it may be that  $\sigma$  itself is in  $\text{link}(1)$ , but this need not be the case. For if we argue as in the proof of the lemma, we obtain  $\sigma \in \text{link}(1)$  if and only if  $(1, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in K$ .

**Remark 5.6.** It is also worth noting that as  $\partial\sigma \in \text{link}(1)$  and  $\text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$ , we have  $(1) * \partial\sigma \subseteq \text{star}(1)$ . That is, the cone on  $\partial\sigma$  is in  $\text{star}(1)$ .

We say that a face  $\tau$  of a simplicial complex  $K$  is *maximal* if there is no other face  $\tau' \in K$  with  $\tau$  a proper subset of  $\tau'$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $K$  be a shifted complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Then the inclusion  $\text{link}(1) \rightarrow \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  is filtered by a sequence of simplicial complexes*

$$\text{link}(1) = L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_m = \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$$

where  $L_i = L_{i-1} \cup \tau_i$  and  $\tau_i$  satisfies:

- (a)  $\tau_i$  is maximal in  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ ;
- (b)  $\tau_i \notin \text{link}(1)$ ;
- (c)  $\partial\tau_i \in \text{link}(1)$ .

*Proof.* In general, if  $L$  is a connected simplicial complex and  $L_0 \subseteq L$  is a subcomplex (not necessarily connected), it is possible to start with  $L_0$  and sequentially adjoin faces one at a time to get  $L$ . That is, there is a sequence of simplicial complexes  $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_m = L$  where  $L_i = L_{i-1} \cup \tau_i$  for some simplex  $\tau_i \in L$ ,  $\tau_i \notin L_{i-1}$  and the union is taken over the boundary  $\partial\tau_i$  of  $\tau_i$ . In addition, it may be assumed that the adjoined faces  $\tau_i$  are maximal in  $L$ . Thus parts (a) and (b) of the lemma follow. For part (c), since  $K$  is shifted and each  $\tau_i \in \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ , Lemma 5.4 implies that  $\partial\tau_i \in \text{link}(1)$ .  $\square$

Next, we turn to the two decomposition lemmas.

**Lemma 5.8.** *For any spaces  $M, N_1, \dots, N_m$ , there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Sigma M \rtimes (N_1 \times \dots \times N_m) \simeq \Sigma M \vee \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_k \leq m} \Sigma M \wedge N_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge N_{i_k} \right).$$

*Proof.* In general,  $\Sigma X \rtimes Y \simeq \Sigma X \vee (\Sigma X \wedge Y)$ , so it suffices to decompose  $\Sigma M \wedge (N_1 \times \dots \times N_m)$ . Iterating the basic fact that  $\Sigma(X \times Y) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \vee (\Sigma X \wedge Y)$ , we obtain a homotopy equivalence



$\Sigma(N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m) \simeq \bigvee_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq m} (\Sigma N_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge N_{i_k})$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma M \wedge (N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m) &\simeq M \wedge \Sigma(N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m) \\ &\simeq M \wedge \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq m} \Sigma N_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge N_{i_k} \right) \\ &\simeq \bigvee_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_k \leq m} M \wedge \Sigma N_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge N_{i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall from Section 4 that if  $K$  is a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  then  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  is the full  $(k-1)$ -dimensional simplex on the vertex set  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  for  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Suppose for some sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ , we have  $\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subseteq K$  but  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \not\subseteq K$ . Then the map  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  induced by the inclusion  $\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \rightarrow K$  has a left inverse. Consequently,  $X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}$  is a retract of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subseteq K$  but  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \not\subseteq K$ , projecting the index set  $[n]$  onto the index set  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  induces a projection  $K \rightarrow \partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . Observe that the composite  $\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  is the identity map. Thus the induced composite  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}}$  is the identity map. Consequently, the homotopy equivalence  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \simeq X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}$  of Proposition 2.3 implies that  $X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}$  is a retract of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . □

We expand on Lemma 5.9. Let  $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}\}$  be the vertices in  $[n]$  which are complementary to  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ . Let  $\overline{X} = \prod_{t=1}^{n-k} X_{j_t}$ . Since the index sets  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  and  $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}\}$  are complementary the inclusion  $\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \rightarrow K$  induces an inclusion  $I: (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \times \overline{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . If each vertex of  $[n]$  is in  $K$ , Proposition 3.3 implies that the restriction of  $I$  to  $\overline{X}$  is null homotopic. Thus  $I$  factors through a map  $I': (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \rtimes \overline{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ .

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$  for which each vertex is in  $K$ . Suppose for some sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ , we have  $\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subseteq K$  but  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \not\subseteq K$ . Then the map  $I': (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}} \rtimes \overline{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  induced by the inclusion  $\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \rightarrow K$  has a left inverse after suspending.*

*Proof.* The hypothesis that  $\partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \subseteq K$  but  $\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \not\subseteq K$  implies that  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is a (minimal) missing face of  $K$ . Thus any other sequence  $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  for  $l \leq n$  with  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  a subsequence also represents a missing face of  $K$ . The decomposition in (3) therefore implies that  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{k-1} X_{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{t_l})$  is a summand of  $\Sigma(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Notice that any sequence  $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  is obtained by starting with  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  and inserting  $l-k$  distinct element from the complement  $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}\}$  of  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$

in  $[n]$ . Thus the list of all the wedge summands  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{k-1}X_{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{t_l})$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the wedge summands of  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{k-1}X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}) \rtimes \overline{X}$  after applying Lemma 5.8. Hence  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{k-1}X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}) \rtimes \overline{X}$  retracts off  $\Sigma(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result in the paper. For convenience, let  $\mathcal{W}_n$  be the collection of spaces which are either contractible or homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spaces of the form  $\Sigma^j X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$  for  $j \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ . Note that for each  $n > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The proof is by induction on the number of vertices. If  $n = 1$  then  $K = \{1\}$ , which is shifted, and the definition of the polyhedral product implies that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K = CX$ , which is contractible. Thus  $K \in \mathcal{W}_1$ .

Assume the theorem holds for all shifted complexes on  $k$  vertices, with  $k < n$ . Let  $K$  be a shifted complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Consider the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{link}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{star}(1) & \longrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

and recall that  $\text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$ . Since  $K$  is shifted, so are  $\text{star}(1)$ ,  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\text{link}(1)$ . Note that  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  is a shifted complex on  $n - 1$  vertices, and as  $\text{link}(1)$  is a subcomplex of  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ , it too is a shifted complex on  $n - 1$  vertices. Therefore, by inductive hypothesis,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)} \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ .

By Lemma 5.7, the map  $\text{link}(1) \longrightarrow \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$  is filtered by a sequence of simplicial complexes

$$\text{link}(1) = L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_m = \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$$

where  $L_i = L_{i-1} \cup \tau_i$  and  $\tau_i$  satisfies: (i)  $\tau_i$  is maximal in  $\text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ ; (ii)  $\tau_i \not\subseteq \text{link}(1)$ ; and (iii)  $\partial\tau_i \in \text{link}(1)$ . In particular, for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , there is a pushout

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial\tau_i & \longrightarrow & \tau_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & L_i. \end{array}$$

Let  $K_0 = \text{star}(1)$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , define  $K_i$  as the simplicial complex obtained from the pushout

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & L_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & K_i. \end{array}$$

Observe that we obtain a filtration of the map  $\text{star}(1) \rightarrow K$  as a sequence  $\text{star}(1) = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_m = \text{rest}\{2, \dots, n\}$ . Juxtaposing the pushouts in (8) and (9) we obtain a pushout

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial\tau_i & \longrightarrow & \tau_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & K_i. \end{array}$$

Since  $\partial\tau_i \in \text{link}(1)$ , Remark 5.6 implies that  $(1) * \partial\tau_i \in \text{star}(1)$ . Thus as  $\text{star}(1) = K_0$ , the map  $\partial\tau_i \rightarrow K_{i-1}$  factors as the composite  $\partial\tau_i \rightarrow (1) * \partial\tau_i \rightarrow \text{star}(1) = K_0 \rightarrow K_{i-1}$ . That is, the inclusion of  $\partial\tau_i$  into  $K_{i-1}$  factors through the cone on  $\partial\tau_i$ .

We now argue that each  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_j} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . First consider  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0}$ . Since  $K_0 = \text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$ , by Lemma 4.1 we have  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{(1)} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)}$ . By the definition of the polyhedral product,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{(1)} = CX_1$ , so  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)}$ . By inductive hypothesis,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)} \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ . Thus  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ .

Next, fix an integer  $j$  such that  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and assume that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . We have  $K_j = K_{j-1} \cup_{\partial\tau_j} \tau_j$ . Since  $\tau_1 = \Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  for some sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , we have  $\partial\tau_1 = \partial\Delta^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . Let  $(j_1, \dots, j_{n-k-1})$  be the complement of  $(1, i_1, \dots, i_k)$  in  $[n]$ , and let  $\overline{X} = \prod_{t=1}^{n-k-1} X_{j_t}$ . Since  $\partial\tau_j \rightarrow K_{j-1}$  factors through  $(1) * \partial\tau_j$ , by Theorem 4.6 there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_j} \simeq D_j \vee (((X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) * X_1) \rtimes \overline{X})$$

where  $D_j$  is the cofiber of the map  $(X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \overline{X} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\tau_j} \rtimes \overline{X} \rightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}}$ . Since  $\overline{X}$  is a product, if we take  $M = X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k} * X_1$  then Lemma 5.8 implies that  $((X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) * X_1) \rtimes \overline{X} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . If  $D_j \in \mathcal{W}_n$  as well, then  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_j} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . Therefore, by induction,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_m} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . But  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_m}$ , so  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \in \mathcal{W}_n$ , which completes the inductive step on the number of vertices and therefore proves the theorem.

It remains to show that  $D_j \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . Consider the cofibration

$$(X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \overline{X} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\partial\tau_j} \rtimes \overline{X} \xrightarrow{f} (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \rightarrow D_j.$$

Since  $\tau_j \notin K_{j-1}$ , Lemma 5.10 implies that  $\Sigma f$  has a left homotopy inverse. We claim that this implies that  $f$  has a left homotopy inverse. By Lemma 5.8,  $(X_{i_1} * \dots * X_{i_k}) \rtimes \overline{X} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ , and by inductive hypothesis,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ . Thus both of these spaces are homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spaces of the form  $\Sigma^j X_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{t_l}$  for various  $j$  and sequences  $(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  with  $l \leq n$ . Observe that each space  $\Sigma^j X_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{t_l}$  is coordinate-wise indecomposable (that is,  $\Sigma^j X_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{t_l}$  does not decompose as a wedge of spaces  $\Sigma^j X_{u_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{u_{l'}}$  for various sequences  $(u_1, \dots, u_{l'})$ ). Thus  $f$  maps a wedge of coordinate-wise indecomposable spaces into another such wedge. As  $f$  respects the coordinate indices, the left homotopy inverse for  $\Sigma f$  implies that  $f$  has a left homotopy inverse.

Now, since  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \in \mathcal{W}_n$  (and is nontrivial), it is a suspension. Therefore, the existence of a left homotopy inverse for  $f$  implies that there is a homotopy equivalence  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_{j-1}} \simeq (X_{i_1} * \cdots * X_{i_k}) \vee D_j$ . Thus  $D_j$  is a retract of a space in  $\mathcal{W}_n$ , implying that  $D_j \in \mathcal{W}_n$ .

Finally, at this point we have shown that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \in \mathcal{W}_n$ , so  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{\mathcal{J}} \Sigma^j X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$  for some index set  $\mathcal{J}$ . We need to show that the list of spaces in this wedge decomposition matches the list in the statement of the theorem,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right)$ . But after suspending, by [BBCG1] there is a homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right)$ , so we obtain

$$(11) \quad \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{\mathcal{J}} \Sigma^j X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k} \right) \simeq \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right).$$

The wedge summands  $X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$  are indecomposable in a coordinate-wise sense - that is,  $X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k}$  is not homotopy equivalent to a wedge  $(X_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{j_l}) \vee (X_{j'_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{j'_l})$ . Therefore the wedge summands that appear on each side of the equivalence in (11) must be the same and appear with the same multiplicity. Thus the two indexing sets in (11) coincide, so we obtain  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \widehat{X}^I \right)$ , as required.  $\square$

## 6. EXAMPLES

We consider the two shifted cases from Examples (5.2). First, let  $K = \Delta_k^{n-1}$  be the full  $k$ -skeleton of  $\Delta^{n-1}$ . Phrased in terms of polyhedral products, Porter [P2] showed that for any simply-connected spaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{C\Omega X}, \underline{\Omega X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{j=k+2}^n \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n} \binom{j-1}{k+1} \Sigma^{k+1} \Omega X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega X_{i_j} \right).$$

Theorem 1.1 now generalizes this. If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are any path-connected spaces, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{j=k+2}^n \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n} \binom{j-1}{k+1} \Sigma^{k+1} X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_j} \right).$$

For example, this decomposition holds not just for  $X_i = \Omega S^{n_i}$  as in Porter's case, but also for the spheres themselves,  $X_i = S^{n_i}$ .

Second, let  $K$  be the simplicial complex in Examples 5.2 (1), whose geometric realisation is two copies of  $|\partial \Delta^2|$  glued along a common edge. Specifically,  $K$  is the simplicial complex with vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$ . To illustrate the algorithmic nature of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will carry out the iterative procedure for identifying the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ . Starting with  $K_0 = \text{star}(1)$ , we adjoin one edge at a time: let  $K_1 = K_0 \cup_{\{2,3\}} (2, 3)$  and  $K_2 = K_1 \cup_{\{2,4\}} (2, 4)$ . Note that  $K_2 = K$ . We begin to identify homotopy types.

*Step 1:* For  $K_0$  we have  $\text{star}(1) = (1) * \text{link}(1)$  where  $\text{link}(1) = \{2, 3, 4\}$ . So Lemma 4.1 implies that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{star}(1)} \simeq CX_1 \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)} \simeq (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\text{link}(1)}$ . Since  $\text{link}(1) = \Delta_0^2$ , we can apply the

previous example to obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0} \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee 2 \cdot (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

*Step 2:* Since  $K_1 = K_0 \cup_{\{2,3\}} (2,3)$ , Theorem 4.6 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(12) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \simeq D_1 \vee [(X_2 * X_3 * X_1) \rtimes X_4]$$

where there is a split cofibration  $(X_2 * X_3) \rtimes X_4 \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0} \longrightarrow D_1$ . As  $(X_2 * X_3) \rtimes X_4 \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4)$ , the homotopy equivalence for  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_0}$  in Step 1 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$D_1 \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

Thus (12) implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

*Step 3:* Since  $K_2 = K_1 \cup_{\{2,4\}} (2,4)$ , Theorem 4.6 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(13) \quad (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} \simeq D_2 \vee [(X_2 * X_4 * X_1) \rtimes X_3]$$

where there is a split cofibration  $(X_2 * X_4) \rtimes X_3 \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \longrightarrow D_2$ . As  $(X_2 * X_4) \rtimes X_3 \simeq (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4)$ , the homotopy equivalence for  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1}$  in Step 2 implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$D_2 \simeq (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

Thus (13) implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} \simeq (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee 2 \cdot (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

## 7. EXTENSIONS OF THE METHOD I: GLUING ALONG A COMMON FACE

The basic idea behind proving Theorem 1.1 was to present  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  as the end result of a sequence of pushouts, and then analyse the homotopy theory of the pushouts. In these terms, the key ingredient of the proof was Lemma 4.5. The idea behind the method is therefore very general. One can look for different constructions of  $K$  which translate to a sequence of homotopy pushouts constructing  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$ , whose homotopy theory can be analysed. This may apply to different classes of complexes  $K$  other than the shifted class. In this section we give such a construction. As a consequence, we find that the decomposition in the statement of Theorem 1.1 holds for a class of simplicial complexes that contains more than just shifted complexes.

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Suppose  $K = K_1 \cup_\tau K_2$  for  $\tau$  a simplex in  $K$ . Geometrically,  $|K|$  is the result of gluing  $|K_1|$  and  $|K_2|$  together along a common face. Relabelling the vertices if necessary, we may assume that  $K_1$  is defined on the vertices  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $K_2$  is defined

on the vertices  $\{m-l+1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\tau$  is defined on the vertices  $\{m-l+1, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $\overline{K}_1, \overline{K}_2$  and  $\overline{\tau}$  be  $K_1, K_2$  and  $\tau$  regarded as simplicial complexes on  $[n]$ . So  $K = \overline{K}_1 \cup_{\overline{\tau}} \overline{K}_2$ .

Let  $\sigma \in K_1$  and let  $\overline{\sigma}$  be its image in  $\overline{K}_1$ . By definition of  $\overline{\sigma}$ , we have  $i \notin \overline{\sigma}$  for  $i \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\sigma}} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\sigma \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n$ . Consequently, taking the union over all the faces in  $\overline{K}_1$ , we obtain

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{K}_1} = (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{K}_2} = X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2}.$$

Since  $\tau = \Delta_{m-l-1}$ , we have  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau = CX_{m-l+1} \times \dots \times CX_m$ , so as above we obtain

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{\overline{\tau}} = X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l} \times CX_{m-l+1} \times \dots \times CX_m \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n.$$

Since  $K = K_1 \cup_{\tau} K_2$ , by Proposition 3.1 there is a pushout

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{a} & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \times X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n \\ \downarrow b & & \downarrow \\ X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l} \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \end{array}$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are coordinate-wise inclusions.

We next identify the homotopy classes of  $a$  and  $b$ . We use the Milnor-Moore convention of writing the identity map  $Y \rightarrow Y$  as  $Y$ . To simplify notation, let  $M = X_1 \times \dots \times X_{m-l}$  and  $N = X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n$ . Then the domain of  $a$  and  $b$  is  $M \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau \times N$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  are coordinate-wise inclusions, their homotopy classes are determined by their restrictions to  $M$ ,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau$  and  $N$ . Consider  $a$ . Since each vertex  $\{i\} \in K$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-l$ , Corollary 3.4 implies that the restriction of  $a$  to  $M$  is null homotopic. Since  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau$  is a product of cones, it is contractible, so the restriction of  $a$  to  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau$  is null homotopic. Since  $a$  is a coordinate-wise inclusion, it is the identity map on  $X_{m+1} \times \dots \times X_n$ . Thus  $a \simeq * \times * \times N$ . Similarly,  $b \simeq M \times * \times *$ . Thus we can rewrite (14) as a pushout

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^\tau \times N & \xrightarrow{f \times N} & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \times N \\ \downarrow M \times g & & \downarrow \\ M \times (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \end{array}$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are null homotopic.

To identify the homotopy type of  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  we use a general lemma, proved in [GT1].

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{* \times B} & C \times B \\ \downarrow A \times * & & \downarrow \\ A \times D & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

*be a homotopy pushout. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$Q \simeq (A * B) \vee (A \ltimes D) \vee (C \rtimes B).$$

□

Lemma 4.5 does not quite fit the setup in (15). To get this we need a slight adjustment.

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times E \times B & \xrightarrow{f \times B} & C \times B \\ \downarrow A \times g & & \downarrow \\ A \times D & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

*be a homotopy pushout, where  $E$  is contractible and  $f$  and  $g$  are null homotopic. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$Q \simeq (A * B) \vee (A \ltimes D) \vee (C \rtimes B).$$

*Proof.* Let  $j: A \times B \rightarrow A \times E \times B$  be the inclusion. Observe that  $(f \times B) \circ j \simeq * \times B$  and  $(A \times g) \circ j \simeq A \times *$ . Thus as  $E$  is contractible,  $j$  is a homotopy equivalence and the pushout in the statement of this lemma is equivalent, up to homotopy, to the pushout in the statement of Lemma 4.5. The homotopy equivalence for  $Q$  now follows. □

Applying Lemma 7.2 to the pushout in (15), we obtain the following.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Suppose that  $K = K_1 \cup_\tau K_2$  where  $\tau$  is a common face of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq (M * N) \vee ((\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \rtimes N) \vee (M \ltimes (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2})$$

*where  $M = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{m-l}$  and  $N = X_{m+1} \times \cdots \times X_n$ .* □

For example, let  $K$  be the simplicial complex in Example 5.2 (1). Geometrically,  $|K|$  is obtained by gluing two copies of  $|\partial\Delta^2|$  along a common edge. Specifically,  $K = K_1 \cup_\tau K_2$  where  $K_1$  is the simplicial complex on vertices  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  having edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3)\}$ ;  $K_2$  is the simplicial complex on vertices  $\{1, 2, 4\}$  having edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 4), (2, 4)\}$ ; and  $\tau$  is the edge  $(1, 2)$ . Since  $K_1 = \partial\Delta^2$ , Proposition 2.3 implies that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \simeq \Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3$ . Similarly,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2} \simeq \Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_4$ . In general, the space  $M$  is the product of the  $X_i$ 's where  $i$  is not a vertex of  $K_2$ , and similarly for

$N$  and  $K_1$ . So in this case  $M = X_3$  and  $N = X_4$ . Theorem 7.3 therefore implies that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq (X_3 * X_4) \vee ((\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \rtimes X_4) \vee (X_3 \ltimes \Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_4).$$

In general, there is a homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma A \rtimes B \simeq \Sigma A \vee (\Sigma A \wedge B)$ , and similarly for the right half-smash. Thus in our case we obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq (\Sigma X_3 \wedge X_4) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3) \vee (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_4) \vee 2 \cdot (\Sigma^2 X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4).$$

This matches the answer in Section 6.

Note that in this case  $K$  is shifted, but Theorem 7.3 also applies to nonshifted complexes. For example, let  $L_2$  be the previous example of two copies of  $\partial\Delta^2$  glued along an edge. Now glue another copy of  $\partial\Delta^2$  to  $L_2$  along an edge. Then we obtain a complex  $L_3$  on 5 vertices which is not shifted, but the homotopy equivalence for  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{L_2}$  and Theorem 7.3 imply that  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{L_3} \in \mathcal{W}_5$ . In the same way, we could continue to iteratively glue in more copies of  $\partial\Delta^2$  along a common edge and obtain non-shifted complexes  $L_{n-2}$  on  $n$  vertices with  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{L_{n-2}} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ .

It is worth pointing out that we assume that any simplicial complex contains the empty set as its simplex. Thus Theorem 7.3 also treats the case when  $K$  is obtain as a disjoint union of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , that is  $K = K_1 \sqcup K_2$ . For an example, let  $K$  be a disjoint union of  $n$  vertices, that is,  $K = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . By the definition of the polyhedral product,  $(CX_i, X_i)^{\{v_i\}} = CX_i$  and so  $(CX_i, X_i)^{\{v_i\}}$  is contractible. Now applying Theorem 7.3 inductively on  $n$ , we conclude that

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq \bigvee_{k=2}^n \left( \bigvee_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (k-1)(\Sigma X_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{i_k}) \right).$$

We now discuss how Theorem 7.3 allows us to generalise Theorem 1.1. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the family of simplicial complexes  $K$  for which the polyhedral product  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of suspensions of smashes of the  $X_i$ 's. By Theorem 1.1, this family is non-empty and contains shifted complexes.

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the index set  $[n]$ . Suppose that  $K = K_1 \cup_\tau K_2$  where  $\tau$  is a common face of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  and  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then  $K \in \mathcal{W}$ , that is,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of suspensions of smashes of the  $X_i$ 's.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.3,

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^K \simeq (M * N) \vee ((\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_1} \rtimes N) \vee (M \ltimes (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K_2}).$$

As  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  belong to  $\mathcal{W}$ , the corresponding polyhedral products are wedges of suspensions of smashes of the  $X_i$ 's. Now the statement follows by applying the homotopy equivalences  $\Sigma A \rtimes B \simeq \Sigma A \vee (\Sigma A \wedge B)$  and  $\Sigma(A \times B) \simeq \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B \vee (\Sigma A \wedge B)$ .  $\square$



## 8. EXTENSIONS OF THE METHOD II: THE SIMPLICIAL WEDGE CONSTRUCTION

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Fix a vertex  $v_i$ . By doubling the vertex  $v_i$ , define a new simplicial complex  $K(v_i)$  on the  $n + 1$  vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  by

$$K(v_i) = (v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}) * \text{link}_K(v_i) \cup \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\} * \text{rest}_K(v_i)$$

where  $(v_{i,1}, v_{i,2})$  denotes the one dimensional simplex on the vertices  $v_{i,1}$  and  $v_{i,2}$ . The simplicial complex  $K(v_i)$  is called the *simplicial wedge* of  $K$  on  $v_i$ . This construction arises in combinatorics (see [PB]) and has the important property that if  $K$  is the boundary of the dual of a polytope then so is  $K(v_i)$ .

As in [BBCG2], the construction can be iterated. To set this up, let  $(1, \dots, 1)$  be an  $n$  tuple of 1s, corresponding to the single appearance of each vertex in the vertex set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . The vertex doubling operation of  $v_i$  in the simplicial wedge construction gives a new vertex set for  $K(v_i)$  – listed above – to which we associate the  $n$ -tuple  $(1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$  with 2 in the  $i$ -position, which records that the vertex  $v_i$  appears twice. The sequence  $(1, \dots, 1, 3, 1, \dots, 1)$  then corresponds to either the simplicial wedge  $(K(v_i))(v_{i,1})$  or to  $(K(v_i))(v_{i,2})$ . However, these two complexes are equivalent, so the choice of vertex  $v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}$  does not matter. More generally, let  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  be an  $n$  tuple of positive integers, and let  $m = \sum_{i=1}^n j_i$ . Define a new simplicial complex  $K(J)$  on  $m$ -vertices

$$\{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j_1}, v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{2,j_2}, \dots, v_{n,1}, \dots, v_{n,j_n}\}$$

by iteratively applying the simplicial wedge construction, starting with  $K$ .

We shall show that if  $K$  is shifted complex on  $n$  vertices then, for any  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ , the polyhedral product determined by  $K(J)$  and  $m = (\sum_{i=1}^n j_i)$  topological pairs  $(CX_i, X_i)$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of suspensions of smashes of the  $X_i$ 's. This improves on Theorem 1.1 because the class of simplicial complexes obtained from shifted complexes by iterating the simplicial wedge construction is strictly larger than the class of shifted complexes. We give an example to illustrate this.

**Example 8.1.** Let  $K$  be the 1-dimensional simplicial complex consisting of vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3)\}$ . Observe that  $K$  is shifted using this particular ordering of vertices. Apply the simplicial wedge product which doubles vertex 4, that is, let  $J = (1, 1, 1, 2)$ . Then  $K(J)$  is a simplicial complex on vertices  $\{1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b\}$ . We have  $K(J) = (4a, 4b) * \text{link}_K(4) \cup \{4a, 4b\} * \text{rest}_K(4)$ . As  $\text{link}_K(4) = \emptyset$  and  $\text{rest}_K(4)$  consists of vertices  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and edges  $\{(1, 2), (1, 3)\}$ , the simplicial wedge complex  $K(J)$  is the simplicial complex on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b\}$  with the maximal faces  $\{(4a, 4b), (1, 2, 4a), (1, 2, 4b), (1, 3, 4a), (1, 3, 4b)\}$ .

We claim that  $K(J)$  is not shifted. Observe that the edge  $(2, 3) \notin K(J)$ , but every other possible edge is in  $K(J)$ . That is,  $(x, y) \in K(J)$  for every  $x, y \in \{1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b\}$  except  $(2, 3)$ . Thus with

the ordering  $1 < 2 < 3 < 4a < 4b$ ,  $K(J)$  does not satisfy the shifted condition as  $(2, 4a) \in K(J)$  would imply that  $(2, 3) \in K(J)$ . So if  $K(J)$  is to be shifted, we must reorder the vertices. Let  $\{1', 2', 3', 4', 5'\}$  be the new labels of the vertices. To satisfy the shifted condition we need to send the vertices  $\{2, 3\}$  to  $\{4', 5'\}$ . The vertices  $\{1, 4a, 4b\}$  are therefore sent to  $\{1', 2', 3'\}$ . Now observe that the face  $(1, 4a, 4b) \notin K(J)$ . Thus in the new ordering, the face  $(1', 2', 3') \notin K(J)$ . The shifted condition therefore implies that no 2-dimensional faces are in  $K(J)$ , a contradiction. Hence there is no reordering of the vertices of  $K(J)$  for which the shifted condition holds. Hence  $K(J)$  is not shifted.

In [BBCG2], polyhedral products related to the simplicial wedge constriction were studied. The authors started with a simplicial complex  $K$  on  $n$  vertices,  $n$  topological spaces  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and an  $n$ -tuple of integers  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ . After defining a family of topological pairs by

$$(\underline{C(*_J X)}, *_J X) = \{(\underbrace{C(X_i * \dots * X_i)}_{j_i}, \underbrace{X_i * \dots * X_i}_{j_i})\}_{i=1}^n$$

it was shown that there is a homeomorphism of polyhedral products

$$(\underline{C(*_J X)}, *_J X)^K \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)}$$

where, to match the vertices of  $K(J)$ ,  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)}$  was defined by requiring that

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X}) = (\underbrace{(CX_1, X_1), \dots, (CX_1, X_1)}_{j_1}, \dots, \underbrace{(CX_n, X_n), \dots, (CX_n, X_n)}_{j_n}).$$

We generalize these result by removing the restriction on the topological pairs defining the polyhedral product  $(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)}$ . For an  $n$ -tuple  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  of positive integers and  $m$  topological pairs  $(CX_i, X_i)$ , where  $m = \sum_{i=1}^n j_i$ , we define

$$(\underline{C(*_J X)}, *_J X) =$$

$$\left\{ \left( C(X_{(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} j_i)+1} * \dots * X_{\sum_{i=0}^k j_i}), X_{(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} j_i)+1} * \dots * X_{\sum_{i=0}^k j_i} \right) \right\}_{k=1}^n$$

where  $j_0 = 0$ .

The following lemma, which is a classical result (see for example, [P1]), is a key ingredient in the generalisation.

**Lemma 8.2.** *For any finite CW-complexes  $X$  and  $Y$ , there is a homeomorphism of pairs*

$$(C(X * Y), X * Y) \longrightarrow (CX, X) \times (CY, Y).$$

□

**Proposition 8.3.** *For a simplicial complex  $K$  on  $n$ -vertices, an  $n$ -tuple  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  of positive integers and  $\sum_{i=1}^n j_i$  topological pairs  $(CX_i, X_i)$  where  $X_i$  is a finite CW-complex, there is a homeomorphism of polyhedral products*

$$(C(*_J X), *_J X)^K \longrightarrow (\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is along the lines of that in [BBCG2, Theorem 8.2], using Lemma 8.2 instead of the special case  $(C(X * X), X * X) \xrightarrow{\cong} (CX, X) \times (CX, X)$  in [BBCG2, Lemma 8.1].  $\square$

**Proposition 8.4.** *Let  $K$  belong to  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $J$  an  $n$ -tuple of positive integers. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(\underline{CX}, \underline{X})^{K(J)} \simeq \left( \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * (\widehat{*_J X})^I \right).$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition 8.3 and the defining property of simplicial complexes belonging to  $\mathcal{W}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BBCG1] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F.R. Cohen, and S. Gilter, The polyhedral product functor: a method of decomposition for moment-angle complexes, arrangements and related spaces, *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), 1634-1668.
- [BBCG2] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F.R. Cohen, and S. Gilter, A new topological construction of infinite families of toric manifolds implying fan reduction, arXiv:1011.0094.
- [BP1] V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, University Lecture Series **24**, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [BP2] V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, *Toric actions in topology and combinatorics* (Russian version), Moskovskio Tsentr Nepreryvnogo Matematicheskogo Obrazovaniya, Moscow, 2004.
- [DJ] M.W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **62** (1991), 417-452.
- [DS] G. Denham and A. Suciu, Moment-angle complexes, monomial ideals and Massey products, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3** (2007), 25-60.
- [FT] Y. Félix and D. Tanré, Rational homotopy of the polyhedral product functor, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), 891-898.
- [G] T. Ganea, A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspension, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **39** (1965), 295-322.
- [GT1] J. Grbić and S. Theriault, The homotopy type of the complement of a coordinate subspace arrangement, *Topology* **46** (2007), 357-396.
- [GT2] J. Grbić and S. Theriault, Higher Whitehead products in toric topology, arXiv:1011.2133.
- [NR] D. Notbohm and N. Ray, On Davis-Januszkiewicz homotopy types, I. Formality and rationalization, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **5** (2005), 31-51.
- [P1] G.J. Porter, Higher order Whitehead products, *Topology* **3** (1965), 123-135.
- [P2] G.J. Porter, The homotopy groups of wedges of suspensions, *Amer. J. Math.* **88** (1966), 655-663.
- [PB] J.S. Provan and L.J. Billera, Decompositions of simplicial complexes related to diameters of convex polyhedra, *Math. Oper. Res.* **5** (1980), 576-594.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, MANCHESTER M13 9PL, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* Jelena.Grbic@manchester.ac.uk

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN, ABERDEEN AB24 3UE, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* s.theriault@abdn.ac.uk